Optimal Control for Linear Quadratic Regulators

Recap: Dynamic Programming

$$\pi^{\star} = \{\pi_0^{\star}, \pi_1^{\star}, \dots, \pi_{H-1}^{\star}\}$$

We use Dynamic Programming, and do DP backward in time; start at H-1

$$Q_{H-1}^{\star}(s,a) = r(s,a) \qquad \pi_{H-1}^{\star}(s) = \arg\max_{a} Q_{H-1}^{\star}(s,a)$$
$$V_{H-1}^{\star}(s) = \max_{a} Q_{H-1}^{\star}(s,a) = Q_{H-1}^{\star}(s,\pi_{H-1}^{\star}(s))$$

Recap: Dynamic Programming

 $\pi^{\star} = \{\pi_0^{\star}, \pi_1^{\star}, \dots, \pi_{H-1}^{\star}\}$

We use Dynamic Programming, and do DP backward in time; start at H-1

$$Q_{H-1}^{\star}(s,a) = r(s,a) \qquad \pi_{H-1}^{\star}(s) = \arg\max_{a} Q_{H-1}^{\star}(s,a)$$
$$V_{H-1}^{\star}(s) = \max_{a} Q_{H-1}^{\star}(s,a) = Q_{H-1}^{\star}(s,\pi_{H-1}^{\star}(s))$$

Now assume that we have already computed V_{h+1}^{\star} , $h \leq H-2$ (i.e., we know how to perform optimally at h+1)

$$Q_h^{\star}(s,a) = r(s,a) + \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim P(\cdot|s,a)} V_{h+1}^{\star}(s')$$
$$\pi_h^{\star}(s) = \arg\max_a Q_h^{\star}(s,a)$$

Recap: The Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

$$\min_{\pi_0,\ldots,\pi_{H-1}} E \left[x_H^\top Q x_H + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (x_h^\top Q x_h + u_h^\top R u_h) \right]$$
such that
$$x_{h+1} = A x_h + B u_h + w_h, \quad u_h = \pi_h(x_h) \quad x_0 \sim \mu_0, \quad w_h \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I),$$

Here, $x_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $u_h \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

the disturbance $w_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is Gaussian noise $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$; $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ are pd matrices

V/Q functions:

• Value function
$$V_h^{\pi} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$$
 as
 $V_h^{\pi}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left(x_H^{\top}Qx_H + \sum_{t=h}^{H-1} (x_t^{\top}Qx_t + u_t^{\top}Ru_t) \mid \pi, x_h = x\right],$
• And $Q_h^{\pi} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ as:
 $Q_h^{\pi}(x, u) = \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^{\top}Qx_H + \sum_{t=h}^{H-1} (x_t^{\top}Qx_t + u_t^{\top}Ru_t) \mid \pi, x_h = x, u_h = u\right],$

Optimal Value functions:

$$\overset{\checkmark}{\underset{\boldsymbol{k}}{\mathcal{K}}} V_{h}^{\star}(x) = \min_{\pi_{h},\pi_{h+1},\ldots,\pi_{H-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[x_{H}^{T}Qx_{H} + \sum_{t=h}^{H-1} x_{t}^{\top}Qx_{t} + u_{t}^{\top}Ru_{t} \middle| u_{t} = \pi_{t}(x_{t}), x_{h} = x \right]$$

Optimal Value functions:

 $V_{h}^{\star}(x) = \min_{\pi_{h},\pi_{h+1},\dots,\pi_{H-1}} \mathbb{E} \left[x_{H}^{T}Qx_{H} + \sum_{t=h}^{H-1} x_{t}^{T}Qx_{t} + u_{t}^{T}Ru_{t} \middle| u_{t} = \pi_{t}(x_{t}), x_{h} = x \right]$ **Theorem:** $V_{h}^{\star} \text{ is a quadratic function, i.e., } V_{h}^{\star}(x) = x^{T}P_{h}x + p_{h},$ and optimal policy is linear: $\pi_{h}^{\star}(x) = -K_{h}^{\star}x, \quad \kappa_{n}^{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^{\times \times d}$ and $P_{h} \& K_{h}^{\star}$ can be computed exactly

Optimal Value functions:

$$V_{h}^{\star}(x) = \min_{\pi_{h}, \pi_{h+1}, \dots, \pi_{H-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[x_{H}^{T} Q x_{H} + \sum_{t=h}^{H-1} x_{t}^{\top} Q x_{t} + u_{t}^{\top} R u_{t} \middle| u_{t} = \pi_{t}(x_{t}), x_{h} = x \right]$$

Theorem:

 V_{h}^{\star} is a quadratic function, i.e., $V_{h}^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}P_{h}x + p_{h}$, and optimal policy is linear: $\pi_{h}^{\star}(x) = -K_{h}^{\star}x$, and P_{h} & K_{h}^{\star} can be computed exactly

Today: we prove the above theorem and derive optimal policies

Again, we will do dynamic programming **backward in time**, i.e., from H to 0

Again, we will do dynamic programming **backward in time**, i.e., from H to 0

1. Base case: Show that $V_H^{\star}(x)$ is quadratic for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Again, we will do dynamic programming **backward in time**, i.e., from H to 0

1. Base case: Show that $V_{H}^{\star}(x)$ is quadratic for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

- 2. Inductive hypothesis: Assume $V_{h+1}^{\star}(x)$ is quadratic $\forall x$:
- show that $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$ is quadratic in both (x, u)
- Derive the optimal policy $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = \arg \min_u Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$, and show that it's linear

Again, we will do dynamic programming **backward in time**, i.e., from H to 0

1. Base case: Show that $V_H^{\star}(x)$ is quadratic for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- 2. Inductive hypothesis: Assume $V_{h+1}^{\star}(x)$ is quadratic $\forall x$:
- show that $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$ is quadratic in both (x, u)
- Derive the optimal policy $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = \arg \min_u Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$, and show that it's linear

3. Conclusion:

show $V_h^{\star}(x)$ is quadratic for all *x*;

Base case at H

Recall our cost functions:

 $\min_{\pi_0,\ldots,\pi_{H-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^\top Q x_H + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (x_h^\top Q x_h + u_h^\top R u_h)\right]$

Base case at H Q, R < PO metrices

Recall our cost functions:

$$\min_{\pi_0,...,\pi_{H-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^\top Q x_H + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (x_h^\top Q x_h + u_h^\top R u_h)\right]$$

So, at time step *H*, given *x*, the cost-to-go is $x^\top Q x$ regardless..
 $V_H^\star(x) = x^\top Q x, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Base case at H

Recall our cost functions:

$$\min_{\pi_0,\ldots,\pi_{H-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_H + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \left(x_h^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_h + u_h^{\mathsf{T}}Ru_h\right)\right]$$

So, at time step *H*, given *x*, the cost-to-go is $x^{\top}Qx$ regardless.

$$V_{H}^{\star}(x) = x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$$

Denote $P_{H} := Q_{*}p_{H} = 0$,
we write $V_{H}^{\star}(x) = x^{\mathsf{T}}P_{H}x + p_{H}$
(Goal: derive recursive formulation of P_{h} , & p_{h})

Induction Step:

$$\sum_{\substack{n \neq 0 \\ n \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{n \neq 0 \\ n \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{n$$

Induction Step:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\pi_0,\dots,\pi_{H-1}} & E\left[x_H^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_H + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (x_h^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_h + u_h^{\mathsf{T}}Ru_h)\right]\\ \text{such that} \quad x_{h+1} = Ax_h + Bu_h + w_h, \ u_h = \pi_h(x_h) \quad x_0 \sim \mu_0, \ w_h \sim N(0,\sigma^2 I),\\ \text{Assume } V_{h+1}^{\star}(x) = x^{\mathsf{T}}P_{h+1}x + p_{h+1}, \text{ for all } x, \text{ where } P_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, p_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}\\ Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim P(x, u)}V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \end{split}$$

Induction Step:

$$\begin{array}{l} \min_{\pi_{0},\dots,\pi_{H-1}} \quad E\left[x_{H}^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_{H} + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (x_{h}^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_{h} + u_{h}^{\mathsf{T}}Ru_{h})\right] \\
\text{such that} \quad x_{h+1} = Ax_{h} + Bu_{h} + w_{h}, \ u_{h} = \pi_{h}(x_{h}) \quad x_{0} \sim \mu_{0}, \ w_{h} \sim N(0,\sigma^{2}I), \\
\text{Assume } V_{h+1}^{\star}(x) = x^{\mathsf{T}}P_{h+1}x + p_{h+1}, \text{ for all } x, \text{ where } P_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, p_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R} \\
Q_{h}^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim P(x, u)}V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \qquad \swarrow P^{\mathsf{T}} \times \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim P(x, u)}V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \\
= x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx + u^{\mathsf{T}}Ru + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim P(x, u)}V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \\
= x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx + u^{\mathsf{T}}Ru + \mathbb{E}_{w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^{2}I)}\left[V_{h+1}^{\star}(Ax + Bu + w)\right]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Induction Step:} \\ \underset{x_{0},\dots,x_{h+1}}{\min} & E\left[x_{H}^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_{H} + \sum_{h=0}^{h-1}(x_{h}^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_{h} + u_{h}^{\mathsf{T}}Ru_{h})\right] \\ \text{such that } x_{h+1} = Ax_{h} + Bu_{h} + w_{h}, u_{h} = \pi_{h}(x_{h}) \quad x_{0} \sim \mu_{0}, w_{h} \sim N(0|\sigma^{2}I), \end{aligned} \\ \text{Assume } V_{h+1}^{\star}(x) = x^{\mathsf{T}}P_{h+1}x + p_{h+1}, \text{ for all } x, \text{ where } P_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, p_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R} \\ Q_{h}^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim P(x, u)}V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \\ = x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx + u^{\mathsf{T}}Ru + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim P(x, u)}V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \\ = x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx + u^{\mathsf{T}}Ru + \mathbb{E}_{w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^{2}I)}\left[V_{h+1}^{\star}(Ax + Bu + w)\right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}} A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T$$

 $\begin{aligned} Q_{h}^{\star}(x,u) &= c(x,u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim P(x,u)} \left[V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \right] \\ &= x^{\top} \left(Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A \right) x + u^{\top} \left(R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B \right) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \text{tr} \left(\sigma^{2} P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1} \end{aligned}$

$$Q_{h}^{\star}(x,u) = c(x,u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim P(x,u)} \left[V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \right]$$

$$= x^{\top} \left(Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A \right) x + u^{\top} \left(R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B \right) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \text{tr} \left(\sigma^{2} P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1}$$

$$\pi_{h}^{\star}(x) = \arg \min_{u} Q_{h}^{\star}(x,u)$$

$$uhere ago$$

 $\begin{aligned} Q_{h}^{\star}(x,u) &= c(x,u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim P(x,u)} \left[V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \right] \\ &= x^{\top} \left(Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A \right) x + u^{\top} \left(R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B \right) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \text{tr} \left(\sigma^{2} P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1} d u \end{aligned}$

 $\pi_h^\star(x) = \arg\min_u Q_h^\star(x, u)$

Set $\nabla_u Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = 0$, and solve for *u*:

$$Q_{h}^{\star}(x,u) = c(x,u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim P(x,u)} \left[V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \right]$$

$$= x^{\top} \left(Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A \right) x + u^{\top} \left(R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B \right) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \text{tr} \left(\sigma^{2} P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1}$$

$$\pi_{h}^{\star}(x) = \arg \min_{u} Q_{h}^{\star}(x,u) = 0, \text{ and solve for } u:$$

$$\Re \left(R + \delta \mathcal{F}_{uv} B \right) u + 2 \mathcal{F}_{uv} B \mathcal{F}_{uv} \mathcal{F}_$$

Set
$$\nabla_u Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = 0$$
, and solve for *u*:

$$\pi_{h}^{\star}(x) = -\underbrace{(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x}_{:=K_{h}^{\star}}$$
$$:= -K_{h}^{\star}x$$

Concluding the Induction step: $Q_{h}^{\star}(x,u) = x^{\top} \left(Q + A^{\top}P_{h+1}A \right) x + u^{\top} \left(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B \right) u + 2x^{\top}A^{\top}P_{h+1}Bu + \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma^{2}P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1}$ $\pi_{h}^{\star}(x) = -\underbrace{\left(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B \right)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x}_{:=K_{h}^{\star}}$

Concluding the Induction step:

 $Q_{h}^{\star}(x,u) = x^{\top} \left(Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A \right) x + u^{\top} \left(R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B \right) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma^{2} P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1}$ $\pi_{h}^{\star}(x) = -\underbrace{\left(R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B \right)^{-1} B^{\top} P_{h+1} A x}_{:=K_{h}^{\star}}$

 $V_h^{\star}(x) = Q_h^{\star}(x, \pi_h^{\star}(x))$

Concluding the Induction step:

$$Q_{h}^{\star}(x,u) = x^{\top} \left(Q + A^{\top}P_{h+1}A \right) x + u^{\top} \left(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B \right) u + 2x^{\top}A^{\top}P_{h+1}Bu + \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma^{2}P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1}$$
$$\pi_{h}^{\star}(x) = -\underbrace{\left(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B \right)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A}_{:=K_{h}^{\star}} x - \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla_{u}^{\star} \right\rangle}_{:=K_{h}^{\star}} x - \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla_{u}^{\star} x - \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla_{u}^{\star} \right\rangle}_{:=K_{h}^{\star}} x - \underbrace{\left\langle \nabla_{u}^{\star} x - \underbrace$$

 $V_h^{\star}(x) = Q_h^{\star}(x, \pi_h^{\star}(x))$

We can express $V_h^{\star}(x)$ as $V_h^{\star}(x) = x^{\top} P_h x + p_h$, where:

$$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \displaystyle Concluding \ the \ Induction \ step: \\ \displaystyle Q_h^{\star}(x,u) = x^{\mathsf{T}} \left(Q + A^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} A \right) x + u^{\mathsf{T}} \left(R + B^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} B \right) u + 2x^{\mathsf{T}} A^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} B u + \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma^2 P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1} \\ \displaystyle \pi_h^{\star}(x) = - \left(R + B^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} B \right)^{-1} B^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} A x & - z_{\mathsf{T}}^{\mathsf{T}} A^{\mathsf{T}} P_{\mathsf{v} \mathsf{t} \mathsf{t}} B \, \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{v}}^{\mathsf{T}} \times \\ \hline & \vdots = \mathsf{K}_h^{\star} & = (-2)^{\mathsf{T}} A^{\mathsf{T}} P_{\mathsf{v} \mathsf{t} \mathsf{t}} B \, \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{v}} B^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{v} \mathsf{t}} B^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{v} \mathsf{t}} A^{\mathsf{T}} \\ V_h^{\star}(x) = Q_h^{\star}(x, \pi_h^{\star}(x)) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \mathsf{We \ can \ express \ V_h^{\star}(x) \ as \ V_h^{\star}(x) = x^{\mathsf{T}} P_h x + p_h, \ \text{where:} \\ P_h = Q + A^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} A - A^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} B (R + B^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} B)^{-1} B^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} A, \\ p_h = \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma^2 P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1} \end{array} \end{array}$$

Concluding the Induction step:



We can express $V_h^{\star}(x)$ as $V_h^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}P_hx + p_h$, where:



$$V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx$$
, define $P_H = Q, p_H = 0$,

$$V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx$$
, define $P_H = Q, p_H = 0$,

We have shown that
$$V_h^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}P_h x + p_h$$
, where:

$$P_h = Q + A^{\top}P_{h+1}A - A^{\top}P_{h+1}B(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A,$$

$$p_h = \operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma^2 P_{h+1}\right) + p_{h+1}$$



$$V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx$$
, define $P_H = Q, p_H = 0$,

We have shown that $V_h^{\star}(x) = x^{\mathsf{T}} P_h x + p_h$, where: $P_h = Q + A^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} A - A^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} B (R + B^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} B)^{-1} B^{\mathsf{T}} P_{h+1} A, \checkmark$ $p_h = \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma^2 P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1}$

Along the way, we also have shown that $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = -K_h^{\star}x$ where:

$$\pi_{h}^{\star}(x) = -(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}Ax$$
Stort from $P_{H} = Q$, $P_{H} = D$

$$\forall h = H^{-1}, \dots, Q$$
 P_{h} , $P_{h} \in P_{h^{\star}}$, $P_{h^{\star}}$



$$V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx$$
, define $P_H = Q, p_H = 0$,

We have shown that
$$V_h^{\star}(x) = x^{\top} P_h x + p_h$$
, where:
 $P_h = Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A - A^{\top} P_{h+1} B (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B)^{-1} B^{\top} P_{h+1} A,$
 $p_h = \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma^2 P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1}$

Along the way, we also have shown that $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = -K_h^{\star}x$ where:

$$\pi_{h}^{\star}(x) = -\underbrace{(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x}_{(:=K_{h}^{\star})}$$

Optimal control has nothing to do with initial distribution, and the noise!

Time dependent costs and transitions:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\min_{\pi_0,\ldots,\pi_{H-1}} E\left[x_H^\top Q_H x_H + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (x_h^\top Q_h x_h + u_h^\top R_h u_h)\right] \\
\text{such that} \quad x_{h+1} = A_h x_h + B_h u_h + w_h, \quad u_h = \pi_h(x_h) \quad x_0 \sim \mu_0, \quad w_h \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I), \\
\xrightarrow{A} \qquad A
\end{array}$$

Time dependent costs and transitions:

$$\min_{\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{H-1}} E \left[x_H^{\mathsf{T}} Q_H x_H + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (x_h^{\mathsf{T}} Q_h x_h + u_h^{\mathsf{T}} R_h u_h) \right]$$
such that $x_{h+1} = A_h x_h + B_h u_h + w_h, \ u_h = \pi_h(x_h) \quad x_0 \sim \mu_0, \ w_h \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I),$

Same derivation, we will have the following Ricatti Equation:

$$\begin{split} P_h &= Q_h + A_h^\top P_{h+1} A_h - A_h^\top P_{h+1} B_h (R_h + B_h^\top P_{h+1} B_h)^{-1} B_h^\top P_{h+1} A_h, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}_h &= \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma^2 P_{h+1} \right) + p_{h+1} \end{split}$$



More generally...

$$\min_{\pi_{0},...,\pi_{H-1}} E \left[x_{H}^{\mathsf{T}} Q_{H} x_{H} + x_{H}^{\mathsf{T}} q_{H} + c_{H} + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (x_{h}^{\mathsf{T}} Q_{h} x_{h} + u_{h}^{\mathsf{T}} R_{h} u_{h} + u_{h}^{\mathsf{T}} M_{h} x_{h} + x_{h}^{\mathsf{T}} q_{h} + u_{h}^{\mathsf{T}} r_{h} + c_{h}) \right]$$
such that $x_{h+1} = A_{h} x_{h} + B_{h} u_{h} + v_{h} + w_{h}, \ u_{h} = \pi_{h} (x_{h}) \quad x_{0} \sim \mu_{0}, \ w_{h} \sim N(0, \sigma^{2} I),$

$$\pi_{v_{h}}^{\mathsf{V}} (x) = - \bigvee_{v_{h}}^{\mathsf{V}} \pi_{v_{h}}^{\mathsf{V}} (x) = - \bigvee_{v_{h}}^{\mathsf{V}} (x) = - \bigvee_{v_{h}}^{$$

Same DP idea and similar derivation (HW1 question)



Tracking a pre-defined trajectory:

$$\min_{\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{H-1}} E \left[(x_H - x_H^{\star})^{\mathsf{T}} Q_H (x_H - x_H^{\star}) + \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (x_h - x_h^{\star})^{\mathsf{T}} Q_h (x_h - x_h^{\star}) + (u_h - u_h^{\star})^{\mathsf{T}} R_h (u_h - u_h^{\star}) \right]$$
such that $x_{h+1} = A_h x_h + B_h u_h + w_h, \ u_h = \pi_h (x_h) \quad x_0 \sim \mu_0, \ w_h \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I) ,$

We can simply complete the square and we reduce back to the setting in the previous slide!

So far, we studied Policy Evaluation, Policy Iteration, Value Iteration, and DP-based approach,

we have assumed that transition is **unknown**, i.e., $P(s' | s, a), \forall s, a, s'$ is known, or $A, B, \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$ are known

So far, we studied Policy Evaluation, Policy Iteration, Value Iteration, and DP-based approach,

we have assumed that transition is **unknown**, i.e., $P(s' | s, a), \forall s, a, s'$ is known, or $A, B, \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$ are known

Starting from this Thursday, we start considering **unknown** transition:

So far, we studied Policy Evaluation, Policy Iteration, Value Iteration, and DP-based approach,

we have assumed that transition is **unknown**, i.e., $P(s' | s, a), \forall s, a, s'$ is known, or $A, B, \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$ are known

Starting from this Thursday, we start considering **unknown** transition:

We start w/ black-box access to P, or f(x, u, w):

So far, we studied Policy Evaluation, Policy Iteration, Value Iteration, and DP-based approach,

we have assumed that transition is **unknown**, i.e., $P(s' | s, a), \forall s, a, s'$ is known, or $A, B, \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$ are known

Starting from this Thursday, we start considering **unknown** transition:

We start w/ black-box access to P, or f(x, u, w):

We can **reset the system to any** (s, a), and observe $s' \sim P(\cdot | s, a)$,

So far, we studied Policy Evaluation, Policy Iteration, Value Iteration, and DP-based approach,

we have assumed that transition is **unknown**, i.e., $P(s'|s, a), \forall s, a, s'$ is known, or $A, B, \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$ are known

Starting from this Thursday, we start considering **unknown** transition:

We start w/ black-box access to P, or f(x, u, w):

We can **reset the system to any** (s, a), and observe $s' \sim P(\cdot | s, a)$,

Or we can reset to any (x, u), and observe x' = f(x, u, w)(*w* being some unknown noisy disturbance)

Summary today:

1. We use DP to derive the optimal control for LQR (Ricatti equation)!

2. Never try to remember the exact form! Only need to understand the way we derive it (again DP!)

E[f(x)]= E[f(x)] × x~ZP(x-y) **Next Lecture:**

Control for Nonlinear system w/ black-box access to f(x, u)(In general, very hard, we will study approximate algorithm and only aim for locally optimal solutions)

$$\pi_{h}^{k} \forall s, h$$

$$S_{o} \qquad P(x,y) is velice prive is
$$P_{h}^{T}(s, a; s_{o}) \neq o$$

$$E \left[r(s_{o}, a_{o}) \neq 1 \right]$$

$$S_{o} \qquad E \left[r(s_{o}, a_{o}) \neq 1 \right]$$

$$S_{o} \qquad E \left[r(s_{o}, a_{o}) \neq 1 \right]$$

$$= \left[\sum_{a, s, a, s, a_{a}, s, u, a_{o}) \cdot r(s_{o}, a_{o}) \right] \cdot \forall$$

$$E \left[P(x) \right] = E \left[P(x) \right]$$

$$\sum_{x \neq 0} P_{h} \left[x + 1 \right]$$

$$P_{h} \left[x + 1 \right]$$$$