Exploration in RL: Multi-armed Bandit

Recap: Policy Gradient





The most classic formulation from REINFORCE:

 $\nabla J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[R(\tau) \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h) \right]$ $\approx R(\tau) \sum_{\theta}^{n-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h), \quad \tau \sim \rho^{\pi_{\theta}}$

Recap: Policy Gradient

$$\nabla J(\pi_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \rho^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[R(\tau) \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h) \right]$$



The most classic formulation from REINFORCE:

$$\nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h), \quad \tau \sim \rho^{\pi_{\theta}}$$

However, PG lacks the ability to explore; and it will require much longer time to learn on Acrobot and MountainCar examples in openai Gym

The mountainCar Example (i.e., the sparse reward problem)

We have reward zero everywhere except at the goal (flag)





The mountainCar Example (i.e., the sparse reward problem)

We have reward zero everywhere except at the goal (flag)





The mountainCar Example (i.e., the sparse reward problem)

We have reward zero everywhere except at the goal (flag)



The prob of a random policy hitting the goal is exponentially small $\approx 2^{-H}$



The mountainCar Example (i.e., the sparse reward problem)

We have reward zero everywhere except at the goal (flag)



The prob of a random policy hitting the goal is exponentially small $\approx 2^{-H}$ *H*–1 $\mathsf{PG} := R(\tau) \sum_{\theta} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h) \approx 0$ h=0



The mountainCar Example (i.e., the sparse reward problem)

We have reward zero everywhere except at the goal (flag)



The prob of a random policy hitting the goal is exponentially small $\approx 2^{-H}$ *H*–1 $\mathsf{PG} := R(\tau) \sum_{\theta} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_h | s_h) \approx 0$ h=0

i.e., a random policy is a perfect locally optimal policy





The Combination Lock Example (i.e., the sparse reward problem)

(1) We have reward zero everywhere except at the goal (the right end); (2) Every black node, one of the two actions will lead the agent to the dead state (red)





The Combination Lock Example (i.e., the sparse reward problem)

(1) We have reward zero everywhere except at the goal (the right end); (2) Every black node, one of the two actions will lead the agent to the dead state (red)



What is the probability of a random policy generating a trajectory that hits the goal?





Exploration!

We need to perform systematic exploration, i.e., remember where we visited, and purposely try to visit unexplored regions.

Exploration in RL is important, but hard...

Agent

start location

Policy 1





Exploration in RL is an active research area, will be treated deeply in CS6789

Example: agent is systematically exploring a maze



Study Exploration in a very simple MDP:

$$\mathcal{M} = \{s_0, \{a_1, ..., a_K\}, H = 1, R\}$$

i.e., MDP with one state, one-step transition, and K actions

This is also called Multi-armed Bandits

What we will do here:

Plan for today:

1. Introduction of MAB

2. Attempt 1: Greedy Algorithm (a bad algorithm)

3. Attempt 2: Explore and Commit

Setting:

We have K many arms: a_1, \ldots, a_K



Setting:



- We have K many arms: a_1, \ldots, a_K
- Each arm has a unknown reward distribution, i.e., $\nu_i \in \Delta([0,1])$, w/ mean $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}_{r \sim \nu_i}[r]$

Setting:



- We have K many arms: a_1, \ldots, a_K
- Each arm has a unknown reward distribution, i.e., $\nu_i \in \Delta([0,1])$, w/ mean $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}_{r \sim \nu_i}[r]$
 - **Example:** a_i has a Bernoulli distribution ν_i w/ mean $\mu_i := p$:

Setting:



- We have K many arms: a_1, \ldots, a_K
- Each arm has a unknown reward distribution, i.e., $\nu_i \in \Delta([0,1])$, w/ mean $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}_{r \sim \nu_i}[r]$
- **Example:** a_i has a Bernoulli distribution ν_i w/ mean $\mu_i := p$: Every time we pull arm a_i , we observe an i.i.d reward $r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{w/ prop }_F \\ 0 & \text{w/ prob } 1 - p \end{cases}$





Arms correspond to Ads

Each arm has click-through-rate (CTR): probability of getting clicked (unknown)

Intro to MAB



Arms correspond to Ads

Each arm has click-through-rate (CTR): probability of getting clicked (unknown)

Intro to MAB

A learning system aims to maximize CTR in a long run:



Arms correspond to Ads

Each arm has click-through-rate (CTR): probability of getting clicked (unknown)

Intro to MAB

A learning system aims to maximize CTR in a long run:

1. **Try** an Ad (pull an arm)



Arms correspond to Ads

Each arm has click-through-rate (CTR): probability of getting clicked (unknown)

Intro to MAB

A learning system aims to maximize CTR in a long run:

1. **Try** an Ad (pull an arm)

2. **Observe** if it is clicked (see a zero-one **reward**)



Arms correspond to Ads

Each arm has click-through-rate (CTR): probability of getting clicked (unknown)

Intro to MAB

A learning system aims to maximize CTR in a long run:

- 1. **Try** an Ad (pull an arm)
- 2. **Observe** if it is clicked (see a zero-one **reward**)
- 3. Update: Decide what ad to recommend for next round

For $t = 0 \rightarrow T - 1$

Intro to MAB

For $t = 0 \rightarrow T - 1$

1. Learner pulls arm $I_t \in \{1, \dots, K\}$

Intro to MAB

For $t = 0 \rightarrow T - 1$

1. Learner pulls arm $I_t \in \{1, \dots, K\}$

Intro to MAB

(# based on historical information)



For $t = 0 \rightarrow T - 1$

1. Learner pulls arm $I_t \in \{1, \dots, K\}$

2. Learner observes an i.i.d reward $r_t \sim \nu_{I_t}$ of arm I_t

Intro to MAB

(# based on historical information)



For $t = 0 \rightarrow T - 1$

1. Learner pulls arm $I_t \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$

Note: each iteration, we do not observe rewards of arms that we did not try

Intro to MAB

(# based on historical information)

2. Learner observes an i.i.d reward $r_t \sim \nu_{I_t}$ of arm I_t



 $\operatorname{Regret}_{T} =$

Intro to MAB

$$= T\mu^{\star} - \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mu_{I_t}$$

$$\mu^{\star} = \max_{i \in [K]} \mu_i$$

 $\operatorname{Regret}_{T} =$

Total expected reward if we pulled best arm over T rounds

Intro to MAB

$$= T\mu^{\star} - \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mu_{I_t}$$

$$\mu^{\star} = \max_{i \in [K]} \mu_i$$

 $\operatorname{Regret}_{T} = T\mu^{\star} - \sum_{I_{t}}^{T-1} \mu_{I_{t}}$

Total expected reward if we pulled best arm over T rounds

Intro to MAB

t=0

Total expected reward of the arms we pulled over T rounds

 $\mu^{\star} = \max_{i \in [K]} \mu_i$



 $\operatorname{Regret}_{T} = T\mu^{\star} - \sum_{I_{t}}^{T} \mu_{I_{t}}$

Total expected reward if we pulled best arm over T rounds

Goal: no-regret, i.e., $\operatorname{Regret}_T/T \to 0$, as $T \to \infty$

Intro to MAB

<u>T-1</u>

t=0

Total expected reward of the arms we pulled over T rounds

 $\mu^{\star} = \max_{i \in [K]} \mu_i$



Why the problem is hard?

Exploration and Exploitation Tradeoff:

Intro to MAB

Exploration and Exploitation Tradeoff:

Every round, we need to ask ourselves:

Should we pull arms that are less frequently tried in the past (i.e., explore), Or should we commit to the current best arm (i.e., exploit)?

Intro to MAB

Why the problem is hard?

Plan for today:



2. Attempt 1: Greedy Algorithm (a bad algorithm)

3. Attempt 2: Explore and Exploit

Attempt 1: Greedy Algorithm

Alg: try each arm once, and then commit to the one that has the **highest observed** reward
Q: what could be wrong?

Alg: try each arm once, and then commit to the one that has the highest observed reward

Alg: try each arm once, and then commit to the one that has the highest observed reward

Q: what could be wrong?

A bad arm (i.e., low μ_i) may generate a high reward by chance! (recall we have $r \sim \nu$, i.i.d)

More concretely, let's say we have two arms a_1, a_2 : Reward dist for a_1 : w/ prob 60%, r = 1; else r = 0Reward dist for a_2 : w/ prob 40%, r = 1; else r = 0

- More concretely, let's say we have two arms a_1, a_2 : Reward dist for a_1 : w/ prob 60%, r = 1; else r = 0
 - - Clearly a_1 is a better arm!
- Reward dist for a_2 : w/ prob 40%, r = 1; else r = 0

- More concretely, let's say we have two arms a_1, a_2 : Reward dist for a_1 : w/ prob 60%, r = 1; else r = 0
- Reward dist for a_2 : w/ prob 40%, r = 1; else r = 0
 - Clearly a_1 is a better arm!

But try a_1, a_2 once, with probability 16%, we will observe reward pair (0,1)

- More concretely, let's say we have two arms a_1, a_2 : Reward dist for a_1 : w/ prob 60%, r = 1; else r = 0Reward dist for a_2 : w/ prob 40%, r = 1; else r = 0
 - - Clearly a_1 is a better arm!
- But try a_1, a_2 once, with probability 16%, we will observe reward pair (0,1)
- The greedy alg will pick a_2 loosing expected reward 0.2 every time in the future



Plan for today:





- Algorithm
- Analysis

3. Attempt 2: Explore and Commit

What lessons we learned from the Greedy Alg:

Due to randomness in the reward distribution, trying each arm once is not enough, i.e., observed single reward may be far away from the mean



What lessons we learned from the Greedy Alg:

Due to randomness in the reward distribution, trying each arm once is not enough, i.e., observed single reward may be far away from the mean

Q: what's the fix here?



What lessons we learned from the Greedy Alg:

Due to randomness in the reward distribution, trying each arm once is not enough, i.e., observed single reward may be far away from the mean

Q: what's the fix here?

Yes, let's (1) try each arm multiple times, (2) compute the empirical mean of each arm, (3) commit to the one that has the highest empirical mean





For $k = 1 \rightarrow K$: (# Exploration phase)

Algorithm hyper parameter N < T/K (we assume T >> K)

For $k = 1 \rightarrow K$: (# Exploration phase)

Pull arm-k N times, observe $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^N \sim \nu_k$

- Algorithm hyper parameter N < T/K (we assume T >> K)

For $k = 1 \rightarrow K$: (# Exploration phase)

Pull arm-k N times, ob

Calculate arm k's emp

Algorithm hyper parameter N < T/K (we assume T >> K)

pserve
$$\{r_i\}_{i=1}^N \sim \nu_k$$

pirical mean: $\hat{\mu}_k = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i/N$

- For $k = 1 \rightarrow K$: (# Exploration phase)
 - Pull arm-k N times, ob
 - Calculate arm k's emp

For
$$t = NK \rightarrow T - 1$$
: (#

Algorithm hyper parameter N < T/K (we assume T >> K)

pserve
$$\{r_i\}_{i=1}^N \sim \nu_k$$

pirical mean: $\hat{\mu}_k = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i/N$

Exploitation phase)

For
$$k = 1 \rightarrow K$$
: (# Explo

- Pull arm-k N times, ob
- Calculate arm k's emp

For
$$t = NK \rightarrow T - 1$$
: (# |

Pull the best empirica

Algorithm hyper parameter N < T/K (we assume T >> K)

pration phase)

pserve
$$\{r_i\}_{i=1}^N \sim \nu_k$$

pirical mean: $\hat{\mu}_k = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i/N$

Exploitation phase)

al arm, i.e.,
$$I_t = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} \hat{\mu}_i$$

For
$$k = 1 \rightarrow K$$
: (# Explo

- Pull arm-k N times, ob
- Calculate arm k's emp

For
$$t = NK \rightarrow T - 1$$
: (# |

Pull the best empirical arm, i.e., $I_t = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$ $i \in [K]$

Algorithm hyper parameter N < T/K (we assume T >> K)

pration phase)

pserve
$$\{r_i\}_{i=1}^N \sim \nu_k$$

pirical mean: $\hat{\mu}_k = \sum_{i=1}^N r_i/N$

Exploitation phase)



Plan for today:





Algorithm • Analysis

2. Attempt 1: Greedy Algorithm (a bad algorithm: constant regret)

3. Attempt 2: Explore and Exploit

1. Hoeffding inequality (optional, no need to remember or understand it)

1. Hoeffding inequality (optional, no need to remember or understand it)

Given a distribution $\mu \in \Delta([0,1])$, and N i.i.d samples $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^N \sim \mu, \text{ w/ probability at least } 1 - \delta, \text{ we have:}$ $\left| \sum_{i=1}^N r_i/N - \mu \right| \le O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln(1/\delta)}{N}}\right)$

$$\leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln(1/\delta)}{N}}\right)$$

1. Hoeffding inequality (optional, no need to remember or understand it)

Given a distribution $\mu \in \Delta([0,1])$, and N i.i.d samples $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^N \sim \mu, \text{ w/ probability at least } 1 - \delta, \text{ we have:}$ $\left| \sum_{i=1}^N r_i/N - \mu \right| \le O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln(1/\delta)}{N}}\right)$

i.e., this gives us a confidence interval:

$$\leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln(1/\delta)}{N}}\right)$$

1. Hoeffding inequality (optional, no need to remember or understand it)

Given a distribution $\mu \in \Delta([0,1])$, and N i.i.d samples $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^N \sim \mu, \text{ w/ probability at least } 1 - \delta, \text{ we have:}$ $\left| \sum_{i=1}^N r_i/N - \mu \right| \le O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln(1/\delta)}{N}}\right) \qquad \hat{\mu} + \sqrt{\ln(1/\delta)/N}$

I.e., this give

 $\hat{\mu} - \sqrt{\ln(1/\delta)/N}$



- 2. Union Bound (optional):
- $\mathbb{P}(A \text{ or } B) \leq \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)$

- 2. Union Bound (optional):
- $\mathbb{P}(A \text{ or } B) \leq \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)$
- Combine Hoeffding and Union Bound (optional), we have:

- 2. Union Bound (optional):
- $\mathbb{P}(A \text{ or } B) \leq \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)$
- Combine Hoeffding and Union Bound (optional), we have:
- After the Exploration phase, with probability at least 1- δ , for all arm $i \in [K]$, we have: $\left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i / N - \mu \right| \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln(K/\delta)}{N}}\right)$

In summary, we have valid confidence intervals:

- arm $i \in [K]$, we have: $\left| \hat{\mu}_{i} - \mu_{i} \right| \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln(K/\delta)}{N}}\right)$
- After the Exploration phase, with probability at least 1- δ , for all

In summary, we have valid confidence intervals:



- After the Exploration phase, with probability at least 1- δ , for all
 - arm $i \in [K]$, we have: $\left| \hat{\mu}_{i} - \mu_{i} \right| \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln(K/\delta)}{N}}\right)$





In the rest, we will condition on the event that the confidence intervals are valid...





In the rest, we will condition on the event that the confidence intervals are valid...



Recall the Alg in this case will pick $I_t = 2$, for all $t \ge NK$, (but it will suffer regret $(T - NK)(\mu_3 - \mu_2)$)



Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} \mu_i$



Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max_{i \in [K]} \mu_i$

- 1. What's the worst possible regret in the exploration phase:



Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i$ $i \in [K]$

1. What's the worst possible regret in the exploration phase:

Regret

 $i \in [K]$

$$_{e} \leq N(K-1) \leq NK$$



Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max \mu_i$ $i \in [K]$

1. What's the worst possible regret in the exploration phase:

Regret_{explore}

2. What's the regret in the exploitation phase:

 $i \in [K]$

$$_{e} \leq N(K-1) \leq NK$$



Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max \mu_i$ $i \in [K]$

1. What's the worst possible regret in the exploration phase:

Regret

2. What's the regret in the exploitation phase:

Regret_{exploit} <

 $i \in [K]$

$$_{e} \leq N(K-1) \leq NK$$

$$\leq (T - NK) \left(\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}} \right)$$



Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max \mu_i$ $i \in [K]$

1. What's the worst possible regret in the exploration phase:

Regret_{explore}

2. What's the regret in the exploitation phase:

Regret_{exploit} ≤

 $i \in [K]$

$$_{e} \leq N(K-1) \leq NK$$

$$\leq (T - NK) \left(\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}} \right)$$

Let's now bound Regret_{exploit}



Calculate the regret in the exploitation phase

Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max \mu_i$ $i \in [K]$



- $i \in [K]$
- What's the regret in the exploitation phase:
 - $\mathsf{Regret}_{exploit} \leq (T NK) (\mu_{I^{\star}} \mu_{\hat{I}})$



Calculate the regret in the exploitation phase

Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max \mu_i$ $i \in [K]$

 $\mathsf{Regret}_{exploit} \leq$

$$\mu_{I^\star} - \mu_{\hat{I}} \leq \left[\hat{\mu}_{I^\star} + \sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}\right] - \left[\hat{\mu}_{\hat{I}} - \sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}\right]$$

- $i \in [K]$
- What's the regret in the exploitation phase:

$$(T - NK)(\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}})$$


Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max \mu_i$ $i \in [K]$

 $\mathsf{Regret}_{exploit} \leq$

$$\begin{split} \mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}} &\leq \left[\hat{\mu}_{I^{\star}} + \sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}\right] - \left[\hat{\mu}_{\hat{I}} - \sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}\right] \\ &= \hat{\mu}_{I^{\star}} - \hat{\mu}_{\hat{I}} + 2\sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N} \end{split}$$

- $i \in [K]$
- What's the regret in the exploitation phase:

$$(T - NK)(\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}})$$



Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max \mu_i$ $i \in [K]$

 $\mathsf{Regret}_{exploit} \leq$

$$\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}} \leq \left[\hat{\mu}_{I^{\star}} + \sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}\right] - \left[\hat{\mu}_{\hat{I}} - \sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}\right]$$

 $= \hat{\mu}_{I^{\star}} - \hat{\mu}_{\hat{I}} + 2\sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}$

 $\leq 2\sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}$

- $i \in [K]$
- What's the regret in the exploitation phase:

$$(T - NK)(\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}})$$



Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max \mu_i$ $i \in [K]$

 $\mathsf{Regret}_{exploit} \leq$

$$\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}} \leq \left[\hat{\mu}_{I^{\star}} + \sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}\right] - \left[\hat{\mu}_{\hat{I}} - \sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}\right]$$

 $= \hat{\mu}_{I^{\star}} - \hat{\mu}_{\hat{I}} + 2\sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}$

Q: why? $\leq 2\sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}$

- $i \in [K]$
- What's the regret in the exploitation phase:

$$(T - NK)(\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}})$$



Denote empirical best arm $\hat{I} = \arg \max \hat{\mu}_i$, and THE best arm $I^* = \arg \max \mu_i$ $i \in [K]$

 $\mathsf{Regret}_{exploit} \leq$

$$\mu_{I^\star} - \mu_{\hat{I}} \leq \left[\hat{\mu}_{I^\star} + \sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}\right] - \left[\hat{\mu}_{\hat{I}} - \sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}\right]$$

 $= \hat{\mu}_{I^{\star}} - \hat{\mu}_{\hat{I}} + 2\sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}$

Q: why? $\leq 2\sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)/N}$

- $i \in [K]$
- What's the regret in the exploitation phase:

$$(T - NK) \left(\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}} \right)$$

 $\mathsf{Regret}_{exploit} \leq (T - NK) \left(\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}} \right) \leq 2T \sqrt{\frac{\ln(K/\delta)}{N}}$





Finally, combine two regret together:

Regret_{explore}

 $\operatorname{Regret}_{exploit} \leq (T - N)$

 $\operatorname{Regret}_{T} = \operatorname{Regret}_{explore} + F$

$$\leq N(K-1) \leq NK$$

$$K)(\mu_{I^{\star}} - \mu_{\hat{I}}) \leq T\sqrt{\frac{\ln(K/\delta)}{N}}$$

$$\operatorname{Regret}_{exploit} \le NK + 2T\sqrt{\frac{\ln(K/\delta)}{N}}$$

Minimize the upper bound via optimizing N:

Set
$$N = \left(\frac{T\sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)}}{2K}\right)^{2/3}$$
, we have:

 $\operatorname{Regret}_{T} \leq O\left(T^{2/3}K^{1/3} \cdot \ln^{1/3}(K/\delta)\right)$



To conclude:

- [Theorem] Fix $\delta \in (0,1)$, se
- - $\operatorname{Regret}_T \leq O(T^2)$

et
$$N = \left(\frac{T\sqrt{\ln(K/\delta)}}{2K}\right)^{2/3}$$
, with

probability at least $1 - \delta$, **Explore and Commit** has the following regret:

$$2^{/3}K^{1/3} \cdot \ln^{1/3}(K/\delta)$$

(See the MAB reading material for more details)

Summary of the analysis:

(1) Using off-shelf statistical tools, we get the confidence intervals for all arms:



S:

Summary of the analysis:

(1) Using off-shelf statistical tools, we get the confidence intervals for all arms:



(2): in the example above, we will commit to arm 2, and pay per-iter regret ($\mu_3 - \mu_2$)



Summary of the analysis:

(1) Using off-shelf statistical tools, we get the confidence intervals for all arms:



(2): in the example above, we will commit to arm 2, and pay per-iter regret ($\mu_3 - \mu_2$) But from the picture, we see that $(\mu_3 - \mu_2) \leq \text{length-of-Confidence-Interval}$

