Policy Gradients: Optimality

Today

- Recap:
  - NPG convergence proof wrap up
  - remember compatible function approximation

Today

- Recap:
  - NPG convergence proof wrap up
  - remember compatible function approximation

- Today:
  - What about function approximation?
    log linear policy classes and neural policy classes
  - PG methods have stronger guarantees when we have errors.

Recap

Things to remember

For all π, π', s_0:

$$V^{\pi}(s_0) - V^{\pi'}(s_0) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\mathbb{E}_{s\sim d^{\pi}_{s_0}}\mathbb{E}_{a\sim\pi(\cdot|s)}\left[A^{\pi'}(s,a)\right]$$

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) := \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\mathbb{E}_{s,a\sim d^{\pi\theta}}\left[\nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta(a\,|\,s) Q^{\pi_\theta}(s,a)\right]$$

Today: we will use $d^{\pi}_{s_0}$ for a state distribution measure.

(it should be clear from context how we use it).

$$d^{\pi}_{s_0}(s) = (1-\gamma)\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\gamma^h \mathbb{P}(s_h = s\,|\,s_0,\pi)$$

$$d^{\pi}_{s_0}(s,a) = (1-\gamma)\sum_{h=0}^{\infty}\gamma^h \mathbb{P}(s_h = s, a_h = a\,|\,s_0,\pi)$$

$$V^{\pi}(\mu) = E_{s\sim\mu}[V^{\pi}(s)]$$

$$d^{\pi}_{\mu}(s) = E_{s_0\sim\mu}[d^{\pi}_{s_0}(s)]$$

The Natural Policy Gradient

- Define $\mathscr{F}_\rho^\theta$ as the (average) Fisher matrix on the family of distributions $\{\pi_\theta(\,\cdot\,|\,s)\,|\,s \in S\}$ as:
  $\mathscr{F}_\rho^\theta := E_{s\sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}} E_{a\sim\pi_\theta(\cdot|s)} \left[(\nabla \log \pi_\theta(a\,|\,s))\, \nabla \log \pi_\theta(a\,|\,s)^\top \right]$.

- The NPG algorithm performs gradient updates in this induced geometry:
  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta F_\rho(\theta^{(t)})^\dagger \nabla_\theta V^{(t)}(\rho),$

  where $M^\dagger$ denotes the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of M.

- Idea:
  - ‘stretch’ the corners of the simplex out to travel faster
    (as opposed to the log-barrier which keeps us away)

NPG softmax case

(NPG as “soft” policy iteration)

- Lemma: (Softmax NPG as soft policy iteration) The NPG update is:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} A^{(t)}$$

- and so:

$$\pi^{(t+1)}(a \mid s) = \pi^{(t)}(a \mid s) \frac{\exp\left(\eta A^{(t)}(s,a)/(1-\gamma)\right)}{Z_t(s)},$$

where $Z_t(s) = \sum_a \pi^{(t)}(a \mid s) \exp\left(\eta A^{(t)}(s,a)/(1-\gamma)\right)$.

Global convergence for NPG

- Theorem: Params: $\theta^{(0)} = 0$ and $\eta > 0$. For all ρ and $T > 0$, we have:

$$V^{(T)}(\rho) \geq V^{\star}(\rho) - \frac{\log A}{\eta T} - \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)^2 T}.$$

- Setting $\eta \geq (1-\gamma)^2 \log A$, NPG finds an ϵ-opt policy when $T \geq \frac{2}{(1-\gamma)^2 \epsilon}$.
- Iteration complexity has:
  - No dimension dependence (no dependence on S, A)
  - No dependence on start state measure ρ (and no “dist mismatch factor”)
  - No ‘flat gradient’ problem
- What about approx/estimation errors? (next lecture)

Improvement Lower Bound

- Lemma: For the iterates $\pi^{(t)}$ generated by the NPG, we have for all distributions μ:

$$V^{(t+1)}(\mu) - V^{(t)}(\mu) \geq \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\eta} E_{s\sim\mu} \log Z_t(s) \geq 0.$$

NPG Conv. Proof, Part 1

- $d^\star$ as shorthand for $d_\rho^\star$; π_s as shorthand for the vector of $\pi(\,\cdot\,|\,s)$
- By the performance difference lemma,

$$V^{\pi^\star}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} E_{s\sim d^\star} \sum_a \pi^\star(a\,|\,s) A^{(t)}(s,a)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} E_{s\sim d^\star} \sum_a \pi^\star(a\,|\,s) \log \frac{\pi^{(t+1)}(a\,|\,s) Z_t(s)}{\pi^{(t)}(a\,|\,s)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} E_{s\sim d^\star} \left(\mathrm{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi_s^{(t)}) - \mathrm{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi_s^{(t+1)}) + \sum_a \pi^*(a\,|\,s) \log Z_t(s) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} E_{s\sim d^\star} \left(\mathrm{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi_s^{(t)}) - \mathrm{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi_s^{(t+1)}) + \log Z_t(s) \right),$$

NPG Conv. Proof, Part 2

- By the improvement lemma $V^{(t+1)}(\rho) \geq V^{(t)}(\rho)$. Hence,

$$V^{\pi^\star}(\rho) - V^{(T-1)}(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}(V^{\pi^\star}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho))$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s\sim d^\star}(\mathsf{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi_s^{(t)}) - \mathsf{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi_s^{(t+1)})) + \frac{1}{\eta T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s\sim d^\star} \log Z_t(s)$$

$$\leq \frac{E_{s\sim d^\star}\mathsf{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T} + \frac{1}{\eta T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s\sim d^\star} \log Z_t(s)\,.$$

NPG Conv. Proof, Part 2

- By the improvement lemma $V^{(t+1)}(\rho) \geq V^{(t)}(\rho)$. Hence,

$$V^{\pi^\star}(\rho) - V^{(T-1)}(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}(V^{\pi^\star}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho))$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s\sim d^\star}(\mathrm{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi_s^{(t)}) - \mathrm{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi_s^{(t+1)})) + \frac{1}{\eta T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s\sim d^\star}\log Z_t(s)$$

$$\leq \frac{E_{s\sim d^\star}\mathrm{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T} + \frac{1}{\eta T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s\sim d^\star}\log Z_t(s)\,.$$

- By the improvement lemma (applied with $d^\star$ as the distribution), we have:

$$\frac{1}{\eta} E_{s\sim d^\star}\log Z_t(s) \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\left(V^{(t+1)}(d^\star) - V^{(t)}(d^\star)\right)$$

which gives us a bound on $E_{s\sim d^\star}\log Z_t(s)$.

NPG Conv. Proof, Part 3

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\pi^\star}(\rho) - V^{(T-1)}(\rho) &\leq \frac{E_{s\sim d^\star}\mathsf{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T} + \frac{1}{\eta T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s\sim d^\star} \log Z_t(s) \\
&\leq \frac{E_{s\sim d^\star}\mathsf{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T} + \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left(V^{(t+1)}(d^\star) - V^{(t)}(d^\star) \right) \\
&= \frac{E_{s\sim d^\star}\mathsf{KL}(\pi_s^\star \,||\, \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T} + \frac{V^{(T)}(d^\star) - V^{(0)}(d^\star)}{(1-\gamma)T} \\
&\leq \frac{\log A}{\eta T} + \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)^2 T}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Policy Gradient: Examples of Policy Parameterization (discrete actions)

1. Softmax Policy for Tabular MDPs:

$$\theta_{s,a} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall s, a \in S \times A$$

$$\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \frac{\exp(\theta_{s,a})}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta_{s,a'})}$$

2. Log Linear Policy (e.g., for linear MDPs):

Feature vector $\phi(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s,a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s,a'))}$$

3. Neural Policy:

Neural network $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s,a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s,a'))}$$

NPG & Compatible Function Approximation

- Let $w^\star$ denote the following minimizer of the "compatible function approximation" error:

  $$w^\star \in \text{argmin}_w E_{s\sim d_\mu^{\pi_\theta}} E_{a\sim\pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}\left[\left(A^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w\cdot\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a\,|\,s)\right)^2\right]$$

- Lemma: Let $\widehat{A}^{\pi_\theta}(s,a)$ be the best linear predictor of $A^{\pi_\theta}(s,a)$ using $\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a\,|\,s)$, i.e. $\widehat{A}^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) := w^\star \cdot \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a\,|\,s)$. We have:

  $$\nabla_\theta V^{\pi_\theta}(\mu) = = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} E_{s\sim d_\mu^{\pi_\theta}} E_{a\sim\pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}\left[\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a\,|\,s)\, \widehat{A}^{\pi_\theta}(s,a)\right]$$

  We can use $\widehat{A}^{\pi_\theta}(s,a)$ instead of $A^{\pi_\theta}(s,a)$.

- Lemma: We have that $F_\mu(\theta)^\dagger \nabla_\theta V^\theta(\mu) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} w^\star$,

  The NPG direction is the weights $w^\star$

Today:

Natural Policy Gradient and Approximation

Examples:

NPG and variants for log-linear policy classes

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \dfrac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s,a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s,a'))}$

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \dfrac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s,a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s,a'))}$

- We have:
  $\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \bar{\phi}^\theta_{s,a}$, where $\bar{\phi}^\theta_{s,a} = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot \mid s)}[\phi_{s,a'}]$.

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \dfrac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s,a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s,a'))}$

- We have:
  $\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \bar{\phi}^\theta_{s,a}$, where $\bar{\phi}^\theta_{s,a} = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}[\phi_{s,a'}]$.

- The NPG update:
  $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \qquad w_\star \in \text{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)} \left[\left(A^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w \cdot \bar{\phi}^\theta_{s,a} \right)^2 \right]$.

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \dfrac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s,a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s,a'))}$

- We have:
  $\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \overline{\phi}^{\theta}_{s,a}$, where $\overline{\phi}^{\theta}_{s,a} = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}[\phi_{s,a'}]$.

- The NPG update:
  $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \qquad w_\star \in \text{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)} \left[\left(A^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w \cdot \overline{\phi}^{\theta}_{s,a} \right)^2 \right]$.

- Equivalently, for the same $w_\star$,
  $\pi(a \mid s) \leftarrow \dfrac{\pi(a \mid s) \exp(w_\star \cdot \phi_{s,a})}{Z_s}$

  (Z_s is the normalizing constant.) Using $\overline{\phi}$ or ϕ result in the same update for π.

Q-NPG: use Q rather A

(a little nice to interpret for analysis)

- Still log linear class.

Q-NPG: use Q rather A

(a little nice to interpret for analysis)

- Still log linear class.
- The Q-NPG update:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \qquad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s\sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a\sim\pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}\left[\left(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w\cdot\phi_{s,a}^{\theta}\right)^2\right].$$

Q-NPG: use Q rather A

(a little nice to interpret for analysis)

- Still log linear class.
- The Q-NPG update:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \qquad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s\sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a\sim\pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}\left[\left(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w\cdot\phi_{s,a}^{\theta}\right)^2\right].$$

- Equivalently, for the same $w_\star$,

$$\pi(a\,|\,s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi(a\,|\,s)\exp(w_\star\cdot\phi_{s,a})}{Z_s}$$

(Z_s is the normalizing constant.)

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution υ, define:
  $L(w;\theta,\upsilon) := E_{s,a\sim\upsilon}\left[(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w\cdot\phi_{s,a})^2\right]$.

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution υ, define:
  $L(w;\theta,\upsilon) := E_{s,a\sim\upsilon}\left[(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w\cdot\phi_{s,a})^2\right]$.

- With an on-policy state action measure starting with $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$. Shorthand:
  $d^{(t)}(s,a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s,a)$

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution υ, define:
  $L(w; \theta, \upsilon) := E_{s,a\sim\upsilon}\left[(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2\right]$.

- With an on-policy state action measure starting with $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$. Shorthand:
  $d^{(t)}(s,a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s,a)$

- The approximate version:
  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$, where. $w^{(t)} \approx \text{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \le W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})$,

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution υ, define:
  $L(w; \theta, \upsilon) := E_{s,a\sim\upsilon}\left[(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2\right]$.

- With an on-policy state action measure starting with $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$. Shorthand:
  $d^{(t)}(s,a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s,a)$

- The approximate version:
  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$, where. $w^{(t)} \approx \text{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \le W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})$,

- Equivalently,
  $$\pi^{(t+1)}(a \mid s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi^{(t)}(a \mid s)\exp(w^{(t)} \cdot \phi_{s,a})}{Z_s}$$

Error Analysis of NPG

(and variants)

NPG regret lemma

- Consider the update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$ (starting with $\pi^{(0)}$ being the uniform policy).

NPG regret lemma

- Consider the update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$
  (starting with $\pi^{(0)}$ being the uniform policy).

- Lemma: (NPG Regret Lemma)
  Fix any comparison policy $\widetilde{\pi}$ and a state distribution ρ.
  Assume $\log \pi_\theta(a \mid s)$ (for all s, a) is a β-smooth function of θ.
  Consider an arbitrary sequence of weights $w^{(0)}, \ldots, w^{(T)}$, s.t. $\|w^{(t)}\|_2 \le W$. Define:
  $\mathrm{err}_t = E_{s\sim\widetilde{d}}\, E_{a\sim\widetilde{\pi}(\cdot|s)}\left[A^{(t)}(s,a) - w^{(t)} \cdot \nabla_\theta \log \pi^{(t)}(a \mid s)\right]$.
  We have that:

$$\min_{t<T}\left\{ V^{\widetilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \le \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\left(W\sqrt{\frac{2\beta \log A}{T}} + \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathrm{err}_t \right).$$

  (using $\eta = \sqrt{2\log A/(\beta W^2 T)}$)

NPG regret lemma

- Consider the update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$
  (starting with $\pi^{(0)}$ being the uniform policy).

- Lemma: (NPG Regret Lemma)
  Fix any comparison policy $\widetilde{\pi}$ and a state distribution ρ.
  Assume $\log \pi_\theta(a \mid s)$ (for all s, a) is a β-smooth function of θ.
  Consider an arbitrary sequence of weights $w^{(0)}, \ldots, w^{(T)}$, s.t. $\|w^{(t)}\|_2 \le W$. Define:
  $\mathrm{err}_t = E_{s\sim\widetilde{d}}\, E_{a\sim\widetilde{\pi}(\cdot|s)}\left[A^{(t)}(s,a) - w^{(t)} \cdot \nabla_\theta \log \pi^{(t)}(a \mid s)\right]$.
  We have that:

$$\min_{t<T}\left\{ V^{\widetilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \le \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\left(W\sqrt{\frac{2\beta \log A}{T}} + \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathrm{err}_t \right).$$

  (using $\eta = \sqrt{2\log A/(\beta W^2 T)}$)

- Proof: Mirror descent style of analysis + Perf. Difference Lemma

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- The approximate version:
  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$, where. $w^{(t)} \approx \text{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})$,

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- The approximate version:
  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$, where. $w^{(t)} \approx \text{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \le W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})$,

- Error Decomposition:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = \underbrace{L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})}_{\text{Excess risk}} + \underbrace{L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})}_{\text{Approximation error}}$$

where $w_\star^{(t)} \in \text{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \le W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})$

Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose no approx error: $L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$

  Suppose the excess risk:
  $L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}}$,

Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose no approx error: $L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$

  Suppose the excess risk:
  $L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}}$,

- Conditioning: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, for the initial measure ν,
  $\sigma_{\min}\left(E_{s,a\sim\nu}\left[\phi_{s,a}\phi_{s,a}^\top\right]\right) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda\,.$

Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose no approx error: $L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$

  Suppose the excess risk:
  $L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}}$,

- Conditioning: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, for the initial measure ν,
  $\sigma_{\min}\left(E_{s,a\sim\nu}\left[\phi_{s,a}\phi_{s,a}^\top\right]\right) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda\,.$

- Theorem: Fix any state distribution ρ; any comparator policy $\pi^\star$ (not necessarily optimal).
  With η set appropriately and under the above assumptions, we have that:

$$E\left[\min_{t<T}\left\{V^{\pi^\star}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho)\right\}\right] \leq \frac{BW}{1-\gamma}\sqrt{\frac{2\log A}{T}} + \sqrt{\frac{4A}{(1-\gamma)^3}\left(\kappa\cdot\epsilon_{\text{stat}}\right)}$$

Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the excess risk and approx error are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the excess risk and approx error are bounded as:

  $L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}}$,

  $L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}}$,

- Conditioning: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, for the initial measure ν,

  $\sigma_{\min}\left(E_{s,a\sim\nu}\left[\phi_{s,a}\phi_{s,a}^\top\right]\right) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda$.

Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the excess risk and approx error are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)};\theta^{(t)},d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)};\theta^{(t)},d^{(t)}) \le \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_\star^{(t)};\theta^{(t)},d^{(t)}) \le \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

- Conditioning: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \le 1$ and, for the initial measure ν,

$$\sigma_{\min}\left(E_{s,a\sim\nu}\left[\phi_{s,a}\phi_{s,a}^\top\right]\right) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda\,.$$

- Theorem: Fix any state distribution ρ; any comparator policy $\pi^\star$ (not necessarily optimal). With η set appropriately and under the above assumptions, we have that:

$$E\left[\min_{t<T}\left\{V^{\pi^\star}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho)\right\}\right]$$

$$\le \frac{BW}{1-\gamma}\sqrt{\frac{2\log A}{T}} + \sqrt{\frac{4A}{(1-\gamma)^3}\left(\kappa\cdot\epsilon_{\text{stat}} + \left\|\frac{d^\star}{\nu}\right\|_\infty \cdot \epsilon_{\text{approx}}\right)}$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s,a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s,a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a \mid s) = g_\theta(s,a), \quad \text{where} \quad g_\theta(s,a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s,a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s,a')].$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s,a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s,a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a \mid s) = g_\theta(s,a), \quad \text{where} \quad g_\theta(s,a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s,a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s,a')].$$

- The NPG update rule is:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \qquad w_\star \in \text{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)} \left[\left(A^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w \cdot g_\theta(s,a) \right)^2 \right]$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a \mid s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s,a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s,a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a \mid s) = g_\theta(s,a), \quad \text{where} \quad g_\theta(s,a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s,a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s,a')].$$

- The NPG update rule is:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \qquad w_\star \in \text{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)} \left[\left(A^{\pi_\theta}(s,a) - w \cdot g_\theta(s,a) \right)^2 \right]$$