

Policy Gradients: Optimality

Today

- Recap:
 - NPG convergence proof wrap up
 - remember compatible function approximation

Today

- Recap:
 - NPG convergence proof wrap up
 - remember compatible function approximation
- Today:
 - What about function approximation?
log linear policy classes and neural policy classes
 - PG methods have stronger guarantees when we have errors.

Recap

Things to remember

For all π, π', s_0 :

$$V^\pi(s_0) - V^{\pi'}(s_0) = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{s_0}^\pi} \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi(\cdot | s)} [A^{\pi'}(s, a)]$$

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) := \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s, a \sim d^{\pi_\theta}} [\nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta(a | s) Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a)]$$

Today: we will use $d_{s_0}^\pi$ for a state distribution measure.

(it should be clear from context how we use it).

$$d_{s_0}^\pi(s) = (1 - \gamma) \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \gamma^h \mathbb{P}(s_h = s | s_0, \pi)$$

$$d_{s_0}^\pi(s, a) = (1 - \gamma) \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \gamma^h \mathbb{P}(s_h = s, a_h = a | s_0, \pi)$$

$$V^\pi(\mu) = E_{s \sim \mu}[V^\pi(s)]$$

$$d_\mu^\pi(s) = E_{s_0 \sim \mu}[d_{s_0}^\pi(s)]$$

The Natural Policy Gradient

- Define \mathcal{F}_ρ^θ as the (average) Fisher matrix on the family of distributions $\{\pi_\theta(\cdot | s) | s \in S\}$ as:
$$\mathcal{F}_\rho^\theta := E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}} E_{a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[(\nabla \log \pi_\theta(a | s)) \nabla \log \pi_\theta(a | s)^\top \right] .$$
- The NPG algorithm performs gradient updates in this induced geometry:
$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta F_\rho(\theta^{(t)})^\dagger \nabla_\theta V^{(t)}(\rho),$$

where M^\dagger denotes the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of M .
- Idea:
 - ‘stretch’ the corners of the simplex out to travel faster
(as opposed to the log-barrier which keeps us away)

NPG softmax case

(NPG as “soft” policy iteration)

$$\pi_{\theta}(a|s) = \frac{e^{\theta_{sa}}}{Z_s}$$

- **Lemma:** (Softmax NPG as soft policy iteration) The NPG update is:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} A^{(t)}$$

- and so:

$$\pi^{(t+1)}(a|s) = \pi^{(t)}(a|s) \frac{\exp(\eta A^{(t)}(s, a)/(1-\gamma))}{Z_t(s)},$$

where $Z_t(s) = \sum_a \pi^{(t)}(a|s) \exp(\eta A^{(t)}(s, a)/(1-\gamma))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{(t+1)}(a|s) &= \frac{e^{\theta^{(t+1)}_{sa}}}{Z_s^{(t+1)}} \\ &= \frac{e^{\theta^{(t)}_{sa} + \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} A^{(t)}}}{Z_s^{(t+1)}} \\ &= \pi^{(t)} e^{\frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} A^{(t)}} / Z_s^{(t+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Global convergence for NPG

for softmax
NPG

- **Theorem:** Params: $\theta^{(0)} = 0$ and $\eta > 0$. For all ρ and $T > 0$, we have:

$$V^{(T)}(\rho) \geq V^*(\rho) - \frac{\log A}{\eta T} - \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma)^2 T}.$$

- Setting $\eta \geq (1 - \gamma)^2 \log A$, NPG finds an ϵ -opt policy when $T \geq \frac{2}{(1 - \gamma)^2 \epsilon}$.
- Iteration complexity has:
 - No dimension dependence (no dependence on S, A)
 - No dependence on start state measure ρ (and no “dist mismatch factor”)
 - No ‘flat gradient’ problem
- What about approx/estimation errors? (next lecture)

Improvement Lower Bound

- **Lemma:** For the iterates $\pi^{(t)}$ generated by the NPG, we have for all distributions μ :

$$V^{(t+1)}(\mu) - V^{(t)}(\mu) \geq \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\eta} E_{s \sim \mu} \log Z_t(s) \geq 0.$$

$$Z_t(s) = \sum_a \tau_t^a(a|s) e^{\dots}$$

NPG Conv. Proof, Part 1

- d^\star as shorthand for d_ρ^\star ; π_s as shorthand for the vector of $\pi(\cdot | s)$
- By the performance difference lemma,

$$V^{\pi^\star}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} E_{s \sim d^\star} \sum_a \pi^\star(a | s) A^{(t)}(s, a)$$

by PDL
by softmax

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} E_{s \sim d^\star} \sum_a \pi^\star(a | s) \log \frac{\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s) Z_t(s)}{\pi^{(t)}(a | s)}$$

update rule

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} E_{s \sim d^\star} \left(\text{KL}(\pi_s^\star || \pi_s^{(t)}) - \text{KL}(\pi_s^\star || \pi_s^{(t+1)}) + \sum_a \pi^\star(a | s) \log Z_t(s) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta} E_{s \sim d^\star} \left(\text{KL}(\pi_s^\star || \pi_s^{(t)}) - \text{KL}(\pi_s^\star || \pi_s^{(t+1)}) + \log Z_t(s) \right),$$

NPG Conv. Proof, Part 2

- By the improvement lemma $V^{(t+1)}(\rho) \geq V^{(t)}(\rho)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\pi^*}(\rho) - V^{(T-1)}(\rho) &\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (V^{\pi^*}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s \sim d^*} (\text{KL}(\pi_s^* || \pi_s^{(t)}) - \text{KL}(\pi_s^* || \pi_s^{(t+1)})) + \frac{1}{\eta T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s \sim d^*} \log Z_t(s) \\ &\leq \frac{E_{s \sim d^*} \text{KL}(\pi_s^* || \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T} + \frac{1}{\eta T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s \sim d^*} \log Z_t(s). \end{aligned}$$

NPG Conv. Proof, Part 2

- By the improvement lemma $V^{(t+1)}(\rho) \geq V^{(t)}(\rho)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\pi^*}(\rho) - V^{(T-1)}(\rho) &\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (V^{\pi^*}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s \sim d^*} (\text{KL}(\pi_s^* \parallel \pi_s^{(t)}) - \text{KL}(\pi_s^* \parallel \pi_s^{(t+1)})) + \frac{1}{\eta T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s \sim d^*} \log Z_t(s) \\ &\leq \frac{E_{s \sim d^*} \text{KL}(\pi_s^* \parallel \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T} + \frac{1}{\eta T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s \sim d^*} \log Z_t(s). \end{aligned}$$

- By the improvement lemma (applied with d^* as the distribution), we have:

$$\frac{1}{\eta} E_{s \sim d^*} \log Z_t(s) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left(V^{(t+1)}(d^*) - V^{(t)}(d^*) \right)$$

which gives us a bound on $E_{s \sim d^*} \log Z_t(s)$.

NPG Conv. Proof, Part 3

$$\begin{aligned}
 V^{\pi^*}(\rho) - V^{(T-1)}(\rho) &\leq \frac{E_{s \sim d^*} \text{KL}(\pi_s^* || \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T} + \frac{1}{\eta T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s \sim d^*} \log Z_t(s) \quad \text{improvement lemma.} \\
 &\leq \frac{E_{s \sim d^*} \text{KL}(\pi_s^* || \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T} + \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (V^{(t+1)}(d^*) - V^{(t)}(d^*)) \\
 &= \frac{E_{s \sim d^*} \text{KL}(\pi_s^* || \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T} + \frac{V^{(T)}(d^*) - V^{(0)}(d^*)}{(1-\gamma)T} \\
 &\leq \frac{\log A}{\eta T} + \frac{1}{(1-\gamma)^2 T}. \quad \checkmark \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\pi^{(0)}$ = uniform
 $\mathbb{D}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$

Policy Gradient: Examples of Policy Parameterization (discrete actions)

1. Softmax Policy for Tabular MDPs:

$$\theta_{s,a} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall s, a \in S \times A$$

$$\pi_{\theta}(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta_{s,a})}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta_{s,a'})}$$

2. Log Linear Policy (e.g., for linear MDPs):

Feature vector $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\pi_{\theta}(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^{\top} \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^{\top} \phi(s, a'))}$$

3. Neural Policy:

Neural network
 $f_{\theta} : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\pi_{\theta}(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_{\theta}(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_{\theta}(s, a'))}$$

NPG & Compatible Function Approximation

- Let w^* denote the following minimizer of the “compatible function approximation” error:

$$w^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\mu^{\pi_\theta}} E_{a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)} \left[\left(A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a|s) \right)^2 \right]$$

$w \in \mathbb{R}^d$
 $\in \mathbb{R}^d$

- Lemma:** Let $\widehat{A}^{\pi_\theta}(s, a)$ be the best linear predictor of $A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a)$ using $\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a|s)$, i.e. $\widehat{A}^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) := w^* \cdot \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a|s)$. We have:

$$\nabla_\theta V^{\pi_\theta}(\mu) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} E_{s \sim d_\mu^{\pi_\theta}} E_{a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)} \left[\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a|s) \widehat{A}^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) \right]$$

We can use $\widehat{A}^{\pi_\theta}(s, a)$ instead of $A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a)$.

- Lemma:** We have that $F_\mu(\theta)^\dagger \nabla_\theta V^\theta(\mu) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} w^*$,

The NPG direction is the weights w^*

$$\theta \in \theta + \frac{w^*}{1-\gamma}$$

Today:

Natural Policy Gradient and Approximation

Examples:

NPG and variants for log-linear policy classes

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta, \quad \text{where } \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\phi_{s,a'}].$$

centered feature

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$
- We have:
 $\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta$, where $\bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\phi_{s,a'}]$.
- The NPG update:
 $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star$, $w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} [(A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta)^2]$.

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$

- We have:

$$\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a | s) = \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^{\theta}, \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^{\theta} = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot | s)}[\phi_{s,a'}].$$

- The NPG update:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_{\star}, \quad w_{\star} \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_{\rho}^{\pi_{\theta}}, a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot | s)} \left[\left(A^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a) - w \cdot \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^{\theta} \right)^2 \right].$$

- Equivalently, for the same w_{\star} ,

$$\pi(a | s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi(a | s) \exp(w_{\star} \cdot \phi_{s,a})}{Z_s}$$

$$w_{\star} \cdot \phi = \hat{A}$$

(Z_s is the normalizing constant.) Using $\bar{\phi}$ or ϕ result in the same update for π .

Q-NPG: use Q rather A

(a little nice to interpret for analysis)

- Still log linear class.

Q-NPG: use Q rather A

(a little nice to interpret for analysis)

$$E_{\pi} [A|s] = 0$$
$$E_{\pi} [\phi|s] = 0$$

with $\bar{\phi}$

• before
fit A

• now
fit Q with ϕ

- Still log linear class.

- The Q-NPG update:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_{\star}, \quad w_{\star} \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_{\rho}^{\pi_{\theta}}, a \sim \pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)} [(Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a}^{\theta})^2].$$

Q-NPG: use Q rather A

(a little nice to interpret for analysis)

- Still log linear class.

- The Q-NPG update:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a}^\theta)^2].$$

- Equivalently, for the same w_\star ,

$$\pi(a|s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi(a|s) \exp(w_\star \cdot \phi_{s,a})}{Z_s}$$

(Z_s is the normalizing constant.)

two
diff update
rules

① $\pi_{sa} \leftarrow \pi_{sa} e^{Q_{sa}^\pi / Z_s}$

② $\pi_{sa} \leftarrow \pi_{sa} e^{A_{sa}^\pi / Z_s}$

Are they different

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution ν , define:

$$L(\underline{w}; \underline{\theta}, \underline{\nu}) := E_{s,a \sim \nu} [(Q^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a) - \underline{w} \cdot \underline{\phi}_{s,a})^2].$$

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution ν , define:

$$L(w; \theta, \nu) := E_{s,a \sim \nu} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- With an on-policy state action measure starting with $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$. Shorthand:

$$\underbrace{d^{(t)}}(s, a) := \underbrace{d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s, a)}$$

difference
is
starting
state-action
dist.

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution ν , define:

$$L(w; \theta, \nu) := E_{s,a \sim \nu} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- With an on-policy state action measure starting with $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$. Shorthand:

$$d^{(t)}(s, a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s, a)$$

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}, \text{ where } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} \underbrace{L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})},$$

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution ν , define:

$$L(w; \theta, \nu) := E_{s,a \sim \nu} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- With an on-policy state action measure starting with $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$. Shorthand:

$$d^{(t)}(s, a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s, a)$$

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}, \text{ where } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

- Equivalently,

$$\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi^{(t)}(a | s) \exp(w^{(t)} \cdot \phi_{s,a})}{Z_s}$$

approx. minimization
due to estm. errors \uparrow
opt. errors

Error Analysis of NPG

(and variants)

NPG regret lemma

- Consider the update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$
(starting with $\pi^{(0)}$ being the uniform policy).

$\pi_{\theta}(a|s)$ ← "general"

NPG regret lemma

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x') \| \\ & \leq \beta \|x - x'\| \end{aligned}$$

under
dπ

- Consider the update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$ (starting with $\pi^{(0)}$ being the uniform policy).

- Lemma:** (NPG Regret Lemma)

Fix any comparison policy $\tilde{\pi}$ and a state distribution ρ .

Assume $\log \pi_\theta(a | s)$ (for all s, a) is a β -smooth function of θ .

Consider an arbitrary sequence of weights $w^{(0)}, \dots, w^{(T)}$, s.t. $\|w^{(t)}\|_2 \leq W$. Define:

$$\text{err}_t = E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [A^{(t)}(s, a) - w^{(t)} \cdot \nabla_\theta \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s)].$$

We have that:

$$\min_{t < T} \left\{ V_{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left(W \sqrt{\frac{2\beta \log A}{T}} + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \text{err}_t \right).$$

(using $\eta = \sqrt{2 \log A / (\beta W^2 T)}$)

→ 0
a → ∞

NPG regret lemma

- Consider the update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$
(starting with $\pi^{(0)}$ being the uniform policy).

- Lemma:** (NPG Regret Lemma)

Fix any comparison policy $\tilde{\pi}$ and a state distribution ρ .

Assume $\log \pi_\theta(a | s)$ (for all s, a) is a β -smooth function of θ .

Consider an arbitrary sequence of weights $w^{(0)}, \dots, w^{(T)}$, s.t. $\|w^{(t)}\|_2 \leq W$. Define:

$$\text{err}_t = E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [A^{(t)}(s, a) - w^{(t)} \cdot \nabla_\theta \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s)].$$

We have that:

$$\min_{t < T} \left\{ V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left(W \sqrt{\frac{2\beta \log A}{T}} + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \text{err}_t \right).$$

(using $\eta = \sqrt{2 \log A / (\beta W^2 T)}$)

- Proof: Mirror descent style of analysis + Perf. Difference Lemma

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}, \text{ where } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}, \text{ where } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

- Error Decomposition:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = \underbrace{L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})}_{\text{Excess risk}} + \underbrace{L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})}_{\text{Approximation error}}$$

where $w_{\star}^{(t)} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})$

$\approx \frac{d}{\# \text{ samples}}, \sim$

Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose **no approx error**: $L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$

Suppose the **excess risk**:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose **no approx error**: $L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$

Suppose the **excess risk**:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$\alpha \rightarrow$
 $N_{\text{sample}} \rightarrow \infty$
 $\epsilon_{\text{stat}} \rightarrow 0$

- **Conditioning**: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, for the initial measure ν ,
 $\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu}[\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^\top]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$

Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose **no approx error**: $L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$

Suppose the **excess risk**:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$w_\star \in \underset{w}{\text{arg min}} L(w; \theta, d)$$

- **Conditioning**: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, for the initial measure ν ,

$$\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu}[\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^\top]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$$

$$\text{say } \{ w : \|w\| \leq W \}$$

- **Theorem**: Fix any state distribution ρ ; **any comparator policy** π^\star (not necessarily optimal).

With η set appropriately and under the above assumptions, we have that:

$$E \left[\min_{t < T} \left\{ V^{\pi^\star}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \right] \leq \frac{W}{1-\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{2 \log A}{T}} + \sqrt{\frac{4A}{(1-\gamma)^3} (\kappa \cdot \epsilon_{\text{stat}})}$$

Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the **excess risk** and **approx error** are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the **excess risk** and **approx error** are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

- Conditioning: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, **for the initial measure ν** ,

$$\sigma_{\min}\left(E_{s,a \sim \nu}[\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^{\top}]\right) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$$

Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the **excess risk** and **approx error** are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

- Conditioning: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, **for the initial measure ν** ,

$$\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu}[\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^{\top}]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$$

- Theorem:** Fix any state distribution ρ ; **any comparator policy π^{\star}** (not necessarily optimal).

With η set appropriately and under the above assumptions, we have that:

$$E \left[\min_{t < T} \left\{ V^{\pi^{\star}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{BW}{1-\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{2 \log A}{T}} + \sqrt{\frac{4A}{(1-\gamma)^3} \left(\kappa \cdot \epsilon_{\text{stat}} + \left\| \frac{d^{\star}}{\nu} \right\|_{\infty} \cdot \epsilon_{\text{approx}} \right)}$$

Handwritten notes: $\max_{s,a} \left(\frac{d^{\star}(s,a)}{\nu(s,a)} \right)$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = g_\theta(s, a), \text{ where } g_\theta(s, a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a')].$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = g_\theta(s, a), \quad \text{where} \quad g_\theta(s, a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a')].$$

- The NPG update rule is:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[(A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot g_\theta(s, a))^2 \right]$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = g_\theta(s, a), \quad \text{where} \quad g_\theta(s, a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a')].$$

- The NPG update rule is:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[(A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot g_\theta(s, a))^2 \right]$$

$$Ax = b$$

$$x = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$$

↓ ↘ ↙

For linear maps

$V \rightarrow W$

$$Q^T(\xi a) = W^T(\psi(\xi a))$$