

# **Policy Gradient: Approximation**

**Sham Kakade and Wen Sun**

**CS 6789: Foundations of Reinforcement Learning**

# Today

- Recap
- Today:
  - NPG and [function approximation](#)
    - (for log linear policy classes and neural policy classes)
    - PG methods have stronger guarantees (over approximate value function methods) when we have errors.
  - Trust region methods and conservative policy iteration

# Recap

# Things to remember

For all  $\pi, \pi', s_0$ :

$$V^\pi(s_0) - V^{\pi'}(s_0) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{s_0}^\pi} \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi(\cdot | s)} [A^{\pi'}(s, a)]$$

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) := \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s, a \sim d^{\pi_\theta}} [\nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta(a | s) Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a)]$$

Today: we will use  $d_{s_0}^\pi$  for a state distribution measure.

(it should be clear from context how we use it).

$$d_{s_0}^\pi(s) = (1 - \gamma) \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \gamma^h \mathbb{P}(s_h = s | s_0, \pi)$$

$$d_{s_0}^\pi(s, a) = (1 - \gamma) \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \gamma^h \mathbb{P}(s_h = s, a_h = a | s_0, \pi)$$

$$V^\pi(\mu) = E_{s \sim \mu}[V^\pi(s)]$$

$$d_\mu^\pi(s) = E_{s_0 \sim \mu}[d_{s_0}^\pi(s)]$$

# The Natural Policy Gradient

- Define  $\mathcal{F}_\rho^\theta$  as the (average) Fisher matrix on the family of distributions  $\{\pi_\theta(\cdot | s) | s \in S\}$  as:  
$$\mathcal{F}_\rho^\theta := E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}} E_{a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} [(\nabla \log \pi_\theta(a | s)) \nabla \log \pi_\theta(a | s)^\top].$$
- The NPG algorithm performs gradient updates in this induced geometry:  
$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta F_\rho(\theta^{(t)})^\dagger \nabla_\theta V^{(t)}(\rho),$$
where  $M^\dagger$  denotes the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of  $M.$

# Compatible Function Approximation

- Let  $w^*$  denote the following minimizer of the “compatible function approximation” error:

$$w^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\mu^{\pi_\theta}} E_{a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[ (A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s))^2 \right]$$

- Lemma:** We have that  $F_\mu(\theta)^\dagger \nabla_\theta V^\theta(\mu) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} w^*$ ,

The NPG direction is the weights  $w^*$

# Global convergence for Softmax NPG

- **Lemma:** (Softmax NPG as soft policy iteration) The NPG update is:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \frac{\eta}{1 - \gamma} A^{(t)}$$

and this leads to the update:

$$\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s) = \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \frac{\exp(\eta A^{(t)}(s, a) / (1 - \gamma))}{Z_t(s)},$$

- **Theorem:** Params:  $\theta^{(0)} = 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ . For all  $\rho$  and  $T > 0$ , we have:

$$V^{(T)}(\rho) \geq V^*(\rho) - \frac{\log A}{\eta T} - \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma)^2 T}.$$

- Setting  $\eta \geq (1 - \gamma)^2 \log A$ , NPG finds an  $\epsilon$ -opt policy when  $T \geq \frac{2}{(1 - \gamma)^2 \epsilon}$ .

**Today:**  
Function Approximation & Distribution Shift

# What about Function Approximation?

## 1. Softmax Policy for Tabular MDPs:

$$\theta_{s,a} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall s, a \in S \times A$$

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta_{s,a})}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta_{s,a'})}$$

## 2. Log Linear Policy (e.g., for linear MDPs):

Feature vector  $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$$

## 3. Neural Policy:

Neural network  $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

# NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector  $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$

# NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector  $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$
- We have:  
$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta, \text{ where } \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\phi_{s,a'}].$$

# NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector  $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$
- We have:  
$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta, \text{ where } \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\phi_{s,a'}].$$
- The NPG update:  
$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \frac{\eta}{1 - \gamma} w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[ (A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta)^2 \right].$$

# NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector  $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$
- We have:  
$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta, \text{ where } \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\phi_{s,a'}].$$
- The NPG update:  
$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \frac{\eta}{1 - \gamma} w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[ (A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta)^2 \right].$$
- Equivalently, for the same  $w_\star$ ,  
$$\pi(a | s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi(a | s) \exp\left(\frac{\eta}{1 - \gamma} w_\star \cdot \phi_{s,a}\right)}{Z_s}$$

( $Z_s$  is the normalizing constant.) Using  $\bar{\phi}$  or  $\phi$  result in the same update for  $\pi$ .

# Generic Perturbation Analysis of NPG (for **smooth** policy classes)

Recall a function  $f: R^d \rightarrow R$  is said to be  **$\beta$ -smooth** if for all  $x, x' \in R^d$ :

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x')\|_2 \leq \beta \|x - x'\|_2$$

# NPG regret lemma

# NPG regret lemma

- Update rule:  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

# NPG regret lemma

- Update rule:  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

**Lemma:** (NPG Regret Lemma)

# NPG regret lemma

- Update rule:  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

**Lemma:** (NPG Regret Lemma)

- Fix any comparison policy  $\tilde{\pi}$  and any state distribution  $\rho$ .

# NPG regret lemma

- Update rule:  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

**Lemma:** (NPG Regret Lemma)

- Fix any comparison policy  $\tilde{\pi}$  and any state distribution  $\rho$ .
- Suppose  $\pi^{(0)}$  is the uniform policy.

# NPG regret lemma

- Update rule:  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

**Lemma:** (NPG Regret Lemma)

- Fix any comparison policy  $\tilde{\pi}$  and any state distribution  $\rho$ .
- Suppose  $\pi^{(0)}$  is the uniform policy.
- Assume for all  $s, a$  that  $\log \pi_\theta(a | s)$  is a  $\beta$ -smooth function of  $\theta$ .

# NPG regret lemma

- Update rule:  $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

**Lemma:** (NPG Regret Lemma)

- Fix any comparison policy  $\tilde{\pi}$  and any state distribution  $\rho$ .
- Suppose  $\pi^{(0)}$  is the uniform policy.
- Assume for all  $s, a$  that  $\log \pi_\theta(a | s)$  is a  $\beta$ -smooth function of  $\theta$ .

For an arbitrary sequence  $w^{(0)}, \dots, w^{(T)}$ , s.t.  $\|w^{(t)}\|_2 \leq W$ ,  
where  $\text{err}_t := E_{s \sim d_{\rho}^{\tilde{\pi}}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [A^{(t)}(s, a) - w^{(t)} \cdot \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s)]$ , we have:

$$\min_{t < T} \left\{ V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left( W \sqrt{\frac{2\beta \log A}{T}} + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \text{err}_t \right)$$

where we set using  $\eta = \sqrt{2 \log A / (\beta W^2 T)}$ .

# Proof, part 1

# Proof, part 1

- A function  $f: R^d \rightarrow R$  is said to be  $\beta$ -smooth if for all  $x, x' \in R^d$ :  
$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x')\|_2 \leq \beta \|x - x'\|_2$$

and, due to Taylor's theorem, this implies:

$$|f(x') - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot (x' - x)| \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|x' - x\|_2^2.$$

# Proof, part 1

- A function  $f: R^d \rightarrow R$  is said to be  $\beta$ -smooth if for all  $x, x' \in R^d$ :  
$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x')\|_2 \leq \beta \|x - x'\|_2$$

and, due to Taylor's theorem, this implies:

$$|f(x') - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot (x' - x)| \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|x' - x\|_2^2.$$

- By smoothness,

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \pi^{(t+1)}(a | s) \\ & \geq \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) + \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot (\theta^{(t+1)} - \theta^{(t)}) - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\theta^{(t+1)} - \theta^{(t)}\|_2^2 \\ & = \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) + \eta \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)} - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

# Proof, part 2

# Proof, part 2

- Shorthand:  $\tilde{d}$  for  $d_{\rho}^{\tilde{\pi}}$  (note  $\rho$  and  $\tilde{\pi}$  are fixed);  $\pi_s$  for the distribution  $\pi(\cdot | s)$ .

# Proof, part 2

- Shorthand:  $\tilde{d}$  for  $d_{\rho}^{\tilde{\pi}}$  (note  $\rho$  and  $\tilde{\pi}$  are fixed);  $\pi_s$  for the distribution  $\pi(\cdot | s)$ .
- By **smoothness**,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} \left( KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t)}) - KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t+1)}) \right) &= E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} \left[ \log \frac{\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s)}{\pi^{(t)}(a | s)} \right] \\ &\geq \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} \left[ \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)} \right] - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

# Proof, part 2

- Shorthand:  $\tilde{d}$  for  $d_{\rho}^{\tilde{\pi}}$  (note  $\rho$  and  $\tilde{\pi}$  are fixed);  $\pi_s$  for the distribution  $\pi(\cdot | s)$ .
- By **smoothness**,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} (KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t)}) - KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t+1)})) &= E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} \left[ \log \frac{\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s)}{\pi^{(t)}(a | s)} \right] \\ &\geq \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)}] - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

- By the **performance difference lemma** and def of  $\text{err}_t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [A^{(t)}(s, a)] - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 + \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)} - A^{(t)}(s, a)] \\ &= (1 - \gamma)\eta \left( V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right) - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 - \eta \text{ err}_t \end{aligned}$$

# Proof, part 2

- Shorthand:  $\tilde{d}$  for  $d_{\rho}^{\tilde{\pi}}$  (note  $\rho$  and  $\tilde{\pi}$  are fixed);  $\pi_s$  for the distribution  $\pi(\cdot | s)$ .
- By **smoothness**,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} (KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t)}) - KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t+1)})) &= E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} \left[ \log \frac{\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s)}{\pi^{(t)}(a | s)} \right] \\ &\geq \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)}] - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

- By the **performance difference lemma** and def of  $\text{err}_t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [A^{(t)}(s, a)] - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 + \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)} - A^{(t)}(s, a)] \\ &= (1 - \gamma)\eta \left( V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right) - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 - \eta \text{ err}_t \end{aligned}$$

- Rearranging,

$$V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left( \frac{1}{\eta} E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} (KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t)}) - KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t+1)})) + \frac{\eta \beta}{2} W^2 + \text{err}_t \right)$$

# Proof, part 3

# Proof, part 3

- Proceeding,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho)) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\eta T(1-\gamma)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} (KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t)}) - KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t+1)})) + \frac{1}{T(1-\gamma)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \frac{\eta \beta W^2}{2} + \text{err}_t \right) \\ & \leq \frac{E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T(1-\gamma)} + \frac{\eta \beta W^2}{2(1-\gamma)} + \frac{1}{T(1-\gamma)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \text{err}_t \\ & \leq \frac{\log A}{\eta T(1-\gamma)} + \frac{\eta \beta W^2}{2(1-\gamma)} + \frac{1}{T(1-\gamma)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \text{err}_t \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof (after setting  $\eta$ ).

# What about Function Approximation?

NPG and variants for log-linear policy classes

# Q-NPG: use Q rather A (a little nice to interpret for analysis)

- Still log linear class.

# Q-NPG: use Q rather A (a little nice to interpret for analysis)

- Still log linear class.
- The Q-NPG update:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \frac{\eta}{1 - \gamma} w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

# Q-NPG: use Q rather A (a little nice to interpret for analysis)

- Still log linear class.

- The Q-NPG update:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \frac{\eta}{1 - \gamma} w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- Equivalently, for the same  $w_\star$ ,

$$\pi(a | s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi(a | s) \exp\left(\frac{\eta}{1 - \gamma} w_\star \cdot \phi_{s,a}\right)}{Z_s}$$

( $Z_s$  is the normalizing constant.)

# Approximate Q-NPG + With a Starting Measure

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution  $D$ , define:

$$L(w; \theta, D) := E_{s,a \sim D}[(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

# Approximate Q-NPG + With a Starting Measure

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution  $D$ , define:

$$L(w; \theta, D) := E_{s,a \sim D}[(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- Let us consider using an on-policy state action measure starting with  $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$ .
  - this will help with “exploration” and the flat gradient problem when there is approximation
  - shorthand:

$$d^{(t)}(s, a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s, a)$$

# Approximate Q-NPG + With a Starting Measure

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution  $D$ , define:

$$L(w; \theta, D) := E_{s,a \sim D}[(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- Let us consider using an on-policy state action measure starting with  $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$ .

- this will help with “exploration” and the flat gradient problem when there is approximation

- shorthand:

$$d^{(t)}(s, a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s, a)$$

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w^{(t)}, \text{ where } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

# Approximate Q-NPG + With a Starting Measure

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution  $D$ , define:

$$L(w; \theta, D) := E_{s,a \sim D}[(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- Let us consider using an on-policy state action measure starting with  $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$ .

- this will help with “exploration” and the flat gradient problem when there is approximation

- shorthand:

$$d^{(t)}(s, a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s, a)$$

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w^{(t)}, \text{ where } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

- Equivalently,

$$\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi^{(t)}(a | s) \exp\left(\frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w^{(t)} \cdot \phi_{s,a}\right)}{Z_s}$$

# Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}, \text{ where. } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

# Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}, \text{ where } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

- Error Decomposition:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = \underbrace{L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})}_{\text{Excess risk}} + \underbrace{L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})}_{\text{Approximation error}}$$

where  $w_{\star}^{(t)} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})$

# Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose no approx error:  $L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$

Suppose the excess risk:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

# Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose no approx error:  $L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$   
Suppose the excess risk:  
$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$
- Conditioning: suppose  $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$  and, for the initial measure  $\nu$ ,  
$$\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu}[\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^\top]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$$

# Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose no approx error:  $L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$   
Suppose the excess risk:  
$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$
- Conditioning: suppose  $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$  and, for the initial measure  $\nu$ ,  
$$\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu}[\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^T]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$$
- Theorem: Fix any state distribution  $\rho$ ; any comparator policy  $\tilde{\pi}$  (not necessarily optimal).  
Suppose  $\|\phi(s, a)\| \leq B$ . With  $\eta$  set appropriately and under the above assumptions,

$$E \left[ \min_{t < T} \left\{ V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \right] \leq \frac{BW}{1-\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{2 \log A}{T}} + \sqrt{\frac{4A}{(1-\gamma)^3} (\kappa \cdot \epsilon_{\text{stat}})}$$

# Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the excess risk and approx error are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

# Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the excess risk and approx error are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

- Conditioning: suppose  $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$  and, for the initial measure  $\nu$ ,

$$\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu} [\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^\top]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$$

# Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the excess risk and approx error are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

- Conditioning: suppose  $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$  and, for the initial measure  $\nu$ ,

$$\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu}[\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^\top]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$$

- Theorem: Fix any state distribution  $\rho$ ; any comparator policy  $\tilde{\pi}$  (not necessarily optimal).

Suppose  $\|\phi(s, a)\| \leq B$ . With  $\eta$  set appropriately and under the above assumptions,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left[ \min_{t < T} \left\{ V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{BW}{1-\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{2 \log A}{T}} + \sqrt{\frac{4A}{(1-\gamma)^3} \left( \kappa \cdot \epsilon_{\text{stat}} + \left\| \frac{\tilde{d}}{\nu} \right\|_\infty \cdot \epsilon_{\text{approx}} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

# NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net  $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

# NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net  $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = g_\theta(s, a), \text{ where } g_\theta(s, a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a')].$$

# NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net  $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = g_\theta(s, a), \text{ where } g_\theta(s, a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a')].$$

- The NPG update rule is:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[ (A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot g_\theta(s, a))^2 \right]$$

# NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net  $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = g_\theta(s, a), \text{ where } g_\theta(s, a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a')].$$

- The NPG update rule is:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[ (A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot g_\theta(s, a))^2 \right]$$