

Policy Gradient: Optimality

Sham Kakade and Wen Sun

CS 6789: Foundations of Reinforcement Learning

Today

- Recap
- Today:
 - NPG and **function approximation**
 - (for log linear policy classes and neural policy classes)
 - PG methods have stronger guarantees (over approximate value function methods) when we have errors.
 - ~~Trust region methods and conservative policy iteration~~

Recap

Things to remember

For all π, π', s_0 :

$$V^\pi(s_0) - V^{\pi'}(s_0) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{s_0}^\pi} \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \pi(\cdot|s)} [A^{\pi'}(s, a)]$$

$$\nabla_\theta J(\theta) := \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{s, a \sim d^{\pi_\theta}} [\nabla_\theta \ln \pi_\theta(a | s) Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a)]$$

Today: we will use $d_{s_0}^\pi$ for a state distribution measure.

(it should be clear from context how we use it).

$$d_{s_0}^\pi(s) = (1 - \gamma) \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \gamma^h \mathbb{P}(s_h = s | s_0, \pi)$$

$$d_{s_0}^\pi(s, a) = (1 - \gamma) \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \gamma^h \mathbb{P}(s_h = s, a_h = a | s_0, \pi)$$

$$V^\pi(\mu) = E_{s \sim \mu}[V^\pi(s)]$$

$$d_\mu^\pi(s) = E_{s_0 \sim \mu}[d_{s_0}^\pi(s)]$$

The Natural Policy Gradient

- Define \mathcal{F}_ρ^θ as the (average) Fisher matrix on the family of distributions $\{\pi_\theta(\cdot | s) \mid s \in S\}$ as:
$$\mathcal{F}_\rho^\theta := E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}} E_{a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} [(\nabla \log \pi_\theta(a | s)) \nabla \log \pi_\theta(a | s)^\top].$$
- The NPG algorithm performs gradient updates in this induced geometry:
$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta F_\rho(\theta^{(t)})^\dagger \nabla_\theta V^{(t)}(\rho),$$
where M^\dagger denotes the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of $M.$

Compatible Function Approximation

- Let w^* denote the following minimizer of the “compatible function approximation” error:

$$w^* \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\mu^{\pi_\theta}} E_{a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[(A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s))^2 \right]$$

- Lemma:** We have that $F_\mu(\theta)^\dagger \nabla_\theta V^\theta(\mu) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} w^*$,

The NPG direction is the weights w^*

Global convergence for Softmax NPG

- **Lemma:** (Softmax NPG as soft policy iteration) The NPG update is:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \frac{\eta}{1 - \gamma} A^{(t)}$$


and this leads to the update:

$$\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s) = \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \frac{\exp(\eta A^{(t)}(s, a) / (1 - \gamma))}{Z_t(s)},$$


- **Theorem:** Params: $\theta^{(0)} = 0$ and $\eta > 0$. For all ρ and $T > 0$, we have:

$$V^{(T)}(\rho) \geq V^*(\rho) - \frac{\log A}{\eta T} - \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma)^2 T}.$$

- Setting $\eta \geq (1 - \gamma)^2 \log A$, NPG finds an ϵ -opt policy when $T \geq \frac{2}{(1 - \gamma)^2 \epsilon}$.

Today:

Function Approximation & Distribution Shift

What about Function Approximation?

1. Softmax Policy for Tabular MDPs:

$$\theta_{s,a} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall s, a \in S \times A$$

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta_{s,a})}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta_{s,a'})}$$

2. Log Linear Policy (e.g., for linear MDPs):

Feature vector $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$$

3. Neural Policy:

Neural network $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

$\mathcal{Q} \subset \subset S, A$

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$
- We have:
$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta, \text{ where } \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\phi_{s,a'}].$$

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$
- We have:
$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta, \text{ where } \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\phi_{s,a'}].$$
- The NPG update:
$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \frac{\eta}{1 - \gamma} w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[(A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta)^2 \right].$$

NPG & Log Linear Policy Classes

- Feature vector $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(\theta^\top \phi(s, a'))}$
- We have:
$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta, \text{ where } \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta = \phi_{s,a} - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\phi_{s,a'}].$$
- The NPG update:
$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} [(A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \bar{\phi}_{s,a}^\theta)^2].$$
- Equivalently, for the same w_\star ,
$$\pi(a | s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi(a | s) \exp\left(\frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w_\star \cdot \phi_{s,a}\right)}{Z_s}$$

(Z_s is the normalizing constant.) Using $\bar{\phi}$ or ϕ result in the same update for π .

Generic Perturbation Analysis of NPG (for **smooth** policy classes)

Recall a function $f: R^d \rightarrow R$ is said to be **β -smooth** if for all $x, x' \in R^d$:

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x')\|_2 \leq \beta \|x - x'\|_2$$

$\forall \pi, a$, assume $\log \pi_\theta(a|s)$ is β -smooth
(as a function of θ)

NPG regret lemma

NPG regret lemma

- Update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w_i^{(t)}$

NPG regret lemma

- Update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

Lemma: (NPG Regret Lemma)

NPG regret lemma

- Update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

Lemma: (NPG Regret Lemma)

- Fix any comparison policy $\tilde{\pi}$ and any state distribution ρ .

NPG regret lemma

- Update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

Lemma: (NPG Regret Lemma)

- Fix any comparison policy $\tilde{\pi}$ and any state distribution ρ .
- Suppose $\pi^{(0)}$ is the uniform policy.

NPG regret lemma

- Update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

Lemma: (NPG Regret Lemma)

- Fix any comparison policy $\tilde{\pi}$ and any state distribution ρ .
- Suppose $\pi^{(0)}$ is the uniform policy.
- Assume for all s, a that $\log \pi_\theta(a | s)$ is a β -smooth function of θ .

NPG regret lemma

- Update rule: $\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$

$$\int \geq \int_{\rho}^{\tilde{\pi}}$$

Lemma: (NPG Regret Lemma)

- Fix any comparison policy $\tilde{\pi}$ and any state distribution ρ .
- Suppose $\pi^{(0)}$ is the uniform policy.
- Assume for all s, a that $\log \pi_\theta(a | s)$ is a β -smooth function of θ .

$$A^{(t)}(s, a) = A^{\theta}(s, a)$$

For an arbitrary sequence $w^{(0)}, \dots, w^{(T)}$, s.t. $\|w^{(t)}\|_2 \leq W$,

where $\text{err}_t := \underbrace{E_{s \sim d} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)}}_{\text{explicit}} [A^{(t)}(s, a) - w^{(t)} \cdot \nabla_\theta \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s)]$, we have:

$$\min_{t \leq T} \left\{ V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \left(W \sqrt{\frac{2\beta \log A}{T}} + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \text{err}_t \right)$$

where we set using $\eta = \sqrt{2 \log A / (\beta W^2 T)}$.

no $\downarrow S$,
or dim d
dependence

Proof, part 1

Proof, part 1

- A function $f: R^d \rightarrow R$ is said to be β -smooth if for all $x, x' \in R^d$:
$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x')\|_2 \leq \beta \|x - x'\|_2$$

and, due to Taylor's theorem, this implies:

$$|f(x') - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot (x' - x)| \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|x' - x\|_2^2.$$

Proof, part 1

- A function $f: R^d \rightarrow R$ is said to be **β -smooth** if for all $x, x' \in R^d$:
$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x')\|_2 \leq \beta \|x - x'\|_2$$

and, due to Taylor's theorem, this implies:

$$|f(x') - f(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot (x' - x)| \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|x' - x\|_2^2.$$

- By smoothness,

$$\log \pi^{(t+1)}(a | s)$$

$$\geq \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) + \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot (\theta^{(t+1)} - \theta^{(t)}) - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\theta^{(t+1)} - \theta^{(t)}\|_2^2$$

$$= \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) + \eta \nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)} - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2$$

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}$$

$$\log \pi^{(t+1)}(a | s)$$

v.s.

$$\log \pi^{(t)}(a | s)$$

Proof, part 2

Proof, part 2

- Shorthand: \tilde{d} for $d_{\rho}^{\tilde{\pi}}$ (note ρ and $\tilde{\pi}$ are fixed); π_s for the distribution $\pi(\cdot | s)$.

Proof, part 2

- Shorthand: \tilde{d} for $d_{\rho}^{\tilde{\pi}}$ (note ρ and $\tilde{\pi}$ are fixed); π_s for the distribution $\pi(\cdot | s)$.
- By smoothness,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} (KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t)}) - KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t+1)})) &= E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} \left[\log \frac{\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s)}{\pi^{(t)}(a | s)} \right] \quad \text{by def of } KL. \\ &\geq \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} \left[\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)} \right] - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

↑ previous hand.

Proof, part 2

- Shorthand: \tilde{d} for $d_{\rho}^{\tilde{\pi}}$ (note ρ and $\tilde{\pi}$ are fixed); π_s for the distribution $\pi(\cdot | s)$.
- By **smoothness**,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} (KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t)}) - KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t+1)})) &= E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} \left[\log \frac{\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s)}{\pi^{(t)}(a | s)} \right] \\ &\geq \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)}] - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

- By the **performance difference lemma** and def of err_t ,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [A^{(t)}(s, a)] - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 + \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)} - A^{(t)}(s, a)] \\ &= (1 - \gamma)\eta \left(V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right) - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 - \eta \text{err}_t \end{aligned}$$

↑ add (subtract)
E_w {A^t(s, a)}.

Proof, part 2

- Shorthand: \tilde{d} for $d_{\rho}^{\tilde{\pi}}$ (note ρ and $\tilde{\pi}$ are fixed); π_s for the distribution $\pi(\cdot | s)$.
- By **smoothness**,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} (KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t)}) - KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t+1)})) &= E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} \left[\log \frac{\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s)}{\pi^{(t)}(a | s)} \right] \\ &\geq \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)}] - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

- By the **performance difference lemma** and def of err_t ,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [A^{(t)}(s, a)] - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 + \eta E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} E_{a \sim \tilde{\pi}(\cdot | s)} [\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi^{(t)}(a | s) \cdot w^{(t)} - A^{(t)}(s, a)] \\ &= (1 - \gamma)\eta \left(V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right) - \eta^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \|w^{(t)}\|_2^2 - \eta \text{ err}_t \end{aligned}$$

- Rearranging,

$$V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} E_{s \sim \tilde{d}} (KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t)}) - KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t+1)})) + \frac{\eta\beta}{2} W^2 + \text{err}_t \right)$$

Proof, part 3

Proof, part 3

• Proceeding,

$$\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho))$$

$$\min_{t \leq T} \left\{ V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\}$$

replace $\log A$
with
 $E_{s \sim d} \left[KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi^0) \right]$

↑ previous display

$$\leq \frac{1}{\eta T(1-\gamma)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} E_{s \sim d} (KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t)}) - KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi_s^{(t+1)})) + \frac{1}{T(1-\gamma)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left(\frac{\eta \beta W^2}{2} + \text{err}_t \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{E_{s \sim d} KL(\tilde{\pi}_s || \pi^{(0)})}{\eta T(1-\gamma)} + \frac{\eta \beta W^2}{2(1-\gamma)} + \frac{1}{T(1-\gamma)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \text{err}_t$$

↓ by telescoping.

$$\leq \frac{\log A}{\eta T(1-\gamma)} + \frac{\eta \beta W^2}{2(1-\gamma)} + \frac{1}{T(1-\gamma)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \text{err}_t$$

↓ $KL(\cdot) \leq \log A$

by assumption $\pi^0 = v^*$

which completes the proof (after setting η).

What about Function Approximation?

NPG and variants for log-linear policy classes

Q-NPG: use Q rather A

(a little nice to interpret for analysis)

- Still log linear class.

Q-NPG: use Q rather A

(a little nice to interpret for analysis)

rather than fitting
 Δ^θ with $\hat{\Phi}^\theta$
centered.

- Still log linear class.
- The Q-NPG update:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

Q-NPG: use Q rather A

(a little nice to interpret for analysis)

- Still log linear class.

- The Q-NPG update:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot|s)} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- Equivalently, for the same w_\star ,

$$\pi(a|s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi(a|s) \exp\left(\frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w_\star \cdot \phi_{s,a}\right)}{Z_s}$$

(Z_s is the normalizing constant.)

Approximate Q-NPG + With a Starting Measure

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution D , define:

$$L(w; \theta, D) := E_{s,a \sim D} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

Approximate Q-NPG + With a Starting Measure (e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution D , define:

$$L(w; \theta, D) := E_{s,a \sim D} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- Let us consider using an on-policy state action measure starting with $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$.
 - this will help with “exploration” and the flat gradient problem when there is approximation
 - shorthand:

$$d^{(t)}(s, a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s, a)$$

Approximate Q-NPG + With a Starting Measure

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution D , define:

$$L(w; \theta, D) := E_{s,a \sim D} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- Let us consider using an on-policy state action measure starting with $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$.
 - this will help with “exploration” and the flat gradient problem when there is approximation
 - shorthand:

$$d^{(t)}(s, a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s, a)$$

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w^{(t)}, \text{ where } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

d^θ
 \downarrow
 ν

Approximate Q-NPG + With a Starting Measure (e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- For a state-action distribution D , define:

$$L(w; \theta, D) := E_{s,a \sim D} [(Q^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot \phi_{s,a})^2].$$

- Let us consider using an on-policy state action measure starting with $s_0, a_0 \sim \nu$.
 - this will help with “exploration” and the flat gradient problem when there is approximation
 - shorthand:

$$d^{(t)}(s, a) := d_\nu^{\pi^{(t)}}(s, a)$$

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w^{(t)}, \text{ where. } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

- Equivalently,

$$\pi^{(t+1)}(a | s) \leftarrow \frac{\pi^{(t)}(a | s) \exp(\frac{\eta}{1-\gamma} w^{(t)} \cdot \phi_{s,a})}{Z_s}$$

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}, \text{ where. } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

Approximate Q-NPG

(e.g. we use samples to estimate Q)

- The approximate version:

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \theta^{(t)} + \eta w^{(t)}, \text{ where } w^{(t)} \approx \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}),$$

- Error Decomposition:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = \underbrace{L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})}_{\text{Excess risk}} + \underbrace{L(w_{\star}^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})}_{\text{Approximation error}}$$



where $w_{\star}^{(t)} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\|w\|_2 \leq W} L(w; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)})$

Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose no approx error: $L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$

Suppose the excess risk:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$



$$\frac{W \cdot \|f\|}{\sqrt{\# \text{samples}}}$$

Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose no approx error: $L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$

Suppose the excess risk:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

- Conditioning: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, for the initial measure ν ,
 $\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu} [\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^\top]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$

Q-NPG Conv Rate w/ Estimation Error (no approx error)

- Suppose no approx error: $L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) = 0$

Suppose the excess risk:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

- Conditioning: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, for the initial measure ν ,
 $\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu}[\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^\top]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$

- Theorem: Fix any state distribution ρ ; any comparator policy $\tilde{\pi}$ (not necessarily optimal).

With η set appropriately and under the above assumptions, we have that:

$$E \left[\min_{t < T} \left\{ V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \right] \leq \frac{\mathcal{B}W}{1-\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{2 \log A}{T}} + \sqrt{\frac{4A}{(1-\gamma)^3} (\kappa \cdot \epsilon_{\text{stat}})}$$

Suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\| \leq \mathcal{B}$

assumption
 $V(a|s)$
is uniform

Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the excess risk and approx error are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the excess risk and approx error are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

- Conditioning: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, for the initial measure ν ,

$$\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu} [\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^\top]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$$

Q-NPG Conv Rate with Approx+Est. Errors

- Suppose the excess risk and approx error are bounded as:

$$L(w^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) - L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{stat}},$$

$$L(w_\star^{(t)}; \theta^{(t)}, d^{(t)}) \leq \epsilon_{\text{approx}},$$

for Approx. PI/ π

conc. $\geq \max_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}_0} \left\| \frac{d^*}{d^T S_0} \right\|_\infty$

- Conditioning: suppose $\|\phi_{s,a}\|_2 \leq 1$ and, for the initial measure ν ,

$$\sigma_{\min}(E_{s,a \sim \nu}[\phi_{s,a} \phi_{s,a}^\top]) = \lambda_{\min}, \quad \kappa = 1/\lambda.$$

- Theorem: Fix any state distribution ρ ; any comparator policy $\tilde{\pi}$ (not necessarily optimal).

With η set appropriately and under the above assumptions, we have that:

$$E \left[\min_{t < T} \left\{ V^{\tilde{\pi}}(\rho) - V^{(t)}(\rho) \right\} \right]$$

$$\left\| \frac{d}{d^T S_0} \right\|_\infty$$

Suppose $\|\phi(s,a)\| \leq B$

$$\leq \frac{BW}{1-\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{2 \log A}{T}} + \sqrt{\frac{4A}{(1-\gamma)^3} \left(\kappa \cdot \epsilon_{\text{stat}} + \left\| \frac{d^*}{d^T S_0} \right\|_\infty \cdot \epsilon_{\text{approx}} \right)}$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = g_\theta(s, a), \text{ where } g_\theta(s, a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a')].$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = g_\theta(s, a), \text{ where } g_\theta(s, a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a')].$$

- The NPG update rule is:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[(A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot g_\theta(s, a))^2 \right]$$

NPG & Neural Policy Classes

- Neural net $f_\theta : S \times A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, Policy:

$$\pi_\theta(a | s) = \frac{\exp(f_\theta(s, a))}{\sum_{a'} \exp(f_\theta(s, a'))}$$

- We have:

$$\nabla_\theta \log \pi_\theta(a | s) = g_\theta(s, a), \text{ where } g_\theta(s, a) = \nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a) - E_{a' \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)}[\nabla_\theta f_\theta(s, a')].$$

- The NPG update rule is:

$$\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta w_\star, \quad w_\star \in \operatorname{argmin}_w E_{s \sim d_\rho^{\pi_\theta}, a \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot | s)} \left[(A^{\pi_\theta}(s, a) - w \cdot g_\theta(s, a))^2 \right]$$